The International Library of Psychology

THE NATURE OF LAUGHTER



Founded by C. K. Ogden

The International Library of Psychology

THE NATURE OF LAUGHTER



Founded by C. K. Ogden

The International Library of Psychology

GENERAL PSYCHOLOGY In 38 Volumes

Ι	The Neurosis of Man	Burrow
-	Creative Imagination	Downey
III	The Caveman Within Us	Fielding
IV	Men and their Motives	Flugel
V	The Mind in Sleep	Fortune
VI	The Mind and its Body	Fox
VII	Practical Psychology	Fox
VIII	Man for Himself	Fromm
IX	The Law Within	Fuller
Х	The Nature of Laughter	Gregory
XI	Readings in General Psychology	Halmos et al
XII	The Psychologist at Work	Harrower
XIII	The Psychology of Philosophers	Herzberg
XIV	Emotion	Hillman
XV	The Foundations of Common Sense	Isaacs
XVI	The Psychology of Consciousness	King
XVII	The Sciences of Man in the Making	Kirkpatrick
XVIII	The Person in Psychology	Lafitte
XIX	Man's Unconscious Passion	Lay
XX	Psychological and Biological Foundations of	
	Dream-Interpretation	Lowy
XXI	Nervous Disorders and Character	Mckenzie
XXII	An Historical Introduction to Modern Psychology	Murphy
XXIII	Modern Theories of the Unconscious	Northridge
XXIV	The A B C of Psychology	Ogden
XXV	The Psychology of Thought and Feeling	Platt
XXVI	Introductory Psychology	Price-Williams
XXVII	Empirical Foundations of Psychology	Pronko et al
XXVIII	Psychotherapy	Schilder
XXIX	The Psychology of Time	Sturt
XXX	The Origins of Love and Hate	Suttie
XXXI	Art and the Unconscious	Thorburn
XXXII	The Elements of Psychology	Thorndike
XXXIII	Telepathy and Clairvoyance	Tischner
XXXIV	Human Psychology as Seen Through the Dream	Turner
XXXV	The Psychology of Self-Conciousness	Turner
XXXVI	The Psychology of Economics	Weisskopf
XXXVII	The Measurement of Emotion	Whately Smith
XXXVIII	How to be Happy Though Human	Wolfe

THE NATURE OF LAUGHTER

J C GREGORY



First published in 1924 by Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner & Co., Ltd.

> Reprinted in 1999, 2001 by Routledge

2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Transferred to Digital Printing 2006

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group

© 1924 J C Gregory

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

The publishers have made every effort to contact authors/copyright holders of the works reprinted in the *International Library of Psychology*. This has not been possible in every case, however, and we would welcome correspondence from those individuals/companies we have been unable to trace.

These reprints are taken from original copies of each book. In many cases the condition of these originals is not perfect. The publisher has gone to great lengths to ensure the quality of these reprints, but wishes to point out that certain characteristics of the original copies will, of necessity, be apparent in reprints thereof.

> British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

The Nature of Laughter ISBN 978-0415-21022-5 General Psychology: 38 Volumes ISBN 0415-21129-8 The International Library of Psychology: 204 Volumes ISBN 0415-19132-7 Printed and bound by CPI Antony Rowe, Eastbourne

CONTENTS

CHAPTER						PAGE
I.	Some Varieties of Laughter	•	•	•	•	I
II.	THE HUMANIZATION OF LAUGHT	ER	•	•	•	9
III.	LAUGHTER OF RELIEF	•	•	•	•	20
IV.	LAUGHTER AND TICKLING .	•	•	•	•	4 1
V.	LAUGHTER AND PLEASURE .		•	•	•	52
VI.	Laughter and Society .	•	•	•	•	66
VII.	LAUGHTER AND CIVILIZATION			•	•	85
VIII.	LAUGHTER AND THE LUDICROUS		•			100
IX.	LAUGHTER AND WIT	•		•	•	124
X.	LAUGHTER AND INSTINCT .	•	•		•	145
XI.	THE FUNCTION AND ÆSTHETICS	OF	Laugi	ITER	•	167
XII.	LAUGHTER AND REPRESSION	•	•	•		188
XIII.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	•		•		200
	LITERARY REFERENCES .	•	•			229
	INDEX					24I

v

This page intentionally left blank

LAUGHTER

CHAPTER I

SOME VARIETIES OF LAUGHTER

ANGER, like most human emotions, may result in many and diverse actions. An angry man may send his children to bed, cut off his son with a shilling, change his politics, bring a libel suit, write a satire or, reverting to the original and most instinctive manifestation of anger, black his neighbour's eye. This original of all angry methods of attack is apparent in the single action of the animal when impelled by anger: the result of animal rage is usually an assault upon the offender. It is also written upon every human being at the moment of insult. The body of the angered man may be represented by his clenched fist, which is one characteristic expression of his emotion of anger. The extra supply of sugar with which anger floods his blood is typical as his clenching fist is typical of the pose of his body-of an inward preparation for struggle. Struggle requires energy, and sugar supplies

Α

it. The anger diffuses an aggressive poise throughout his whole body, and a preparation for physical attack is evident to the eye in outward manifestation and disclosed to inner physiological exploration. Many other aggressive responses to anger have developed from this original method of physical violence. Anger may be suppressed and it is an important part of moral training to control it, but the single emotion of anger has obviously become connected with many and diverse actions. In anger there is one emotion and many manifestations of it.

On turning from an examination of anger to an inspection of laughter the converse seems to be true. Each member of the row of laughers in Hogarth's picture laughs differently, but every laugh is essentially the same "mechanical motion", as "dog" is always the same word though written in different hand-writings by twenty different people. This one characteristic bodily action of laughing seems to be connected with many and diverse emotions or feelings. "Emotion" or "feeling" may be intelligibly used to denote the conscious accompaniments of laughter without attempting to conform to accurate definitions of these terms.

As Diomedes stooped to strip his fallen foe of his armour Paris pierced his foot with an arrow. Then Paris leaped to his feet and, "sweetly laughing", exulted over his enemy.¹

"Sweetly" seems a strange adjective, for this is the laughter of triumph-laughter in its most crude and brutal form. When Hezekiah desired to unite Israel and Judah in one great passover he made proclamation from Beersheba to Dan. "So the posts passed from city to city through the country of Ephraim and Manasseh even unto Zebulun: but they laughed them to scorn "2. There is laughter of triumph and laughter of scorn; there is also laughter of contempt, superiority, and self-congratulation. When lovers laugh as they meet they are not contemptuous, nor are they amused. The pure laughter of play, like the laughter of greeting, is as innocent of amusement as it is of contempt. The ungracious varieties of laughter and the laughter of social delight in greeting and play are often forgotten because human laughter is now so closely associated with amusement. Amused laughter, with its characteristic and indefinable sense of the ludicrous, is a third variety that frequently, in discussions on laughter, draws attention entirely on itself and blinds the mental eye to ungracious forms and laughter of social delight. When the sense of the ludicrous is pure and dispassionately free from either animus or sympathy, amused laughter is purely comic. In humour sympathy blends with the sense of the ludicrous and laughter is transformed from the animosity of triumph or scorn into geniality and friendliness.

Bergson's contrast of humour as scientific satire with the oratorical satire of irony³ implies another definition for it than a sense of the ludicrous touched with sympathy. But the English tradition favours the distinction between comic laughter whose sympathies are neutral and humorous laughter that is genial and sympathetic. Coleridge distinguished the "pure, unmixed, ludicrous, or laughable" from "the congeniality of humour with pathos "4; " the comic ", wrote Meredith, differs "from satire in not sharply driving into the quivering sensibilities, and from humour in not comforting them and tucking them up "5, and Professor Saintsbury describes humour "as a feeling and presentation of the ludicrous including sympathetic, or at least meditative, transcendency" 6. Freud seems to admit the separation of humour from the purely comic through its sympathetic content by suggesting economy of thought as the essence of the latter and economy of *feeling* as the essence of the former ⁷. There is authority, therefore, as well as justification through private observation, for distinguishing between the sympathetic laughter of humour and the pure amusement of the comic.

The ungracious, delighted, and amused varieties of laughter do not always, or even usually, occur simple and unmixed. Amusement may mingle with scorn, or contempt with amusement, and social delight may be

tinged with triumph. Some writers say that we are deceived by this mingling of emotions into overestimating the number of the varieties of laughter. When Coleridge wrote "to resolve laughter into an expression of contempt is contrary to fact, and laughable enough "⁸, he hinted that laughter is never anti-sympathetic and contains no ungracious forms. Mr Max Eastman makes this hint explicit. He urges the dismissal at the outset of scorn and its disagreeable children-including sarcasm, commends Voltaire for affirming the incompatibility of laughter with contempt and indignation, and condemns the first analysts who "confused laughter with the act of scoffing ". Whenever ungracious or unsympathetic elements appear they are pollutions of laughter, not part of it.⁹ Thus from the three previous classes of laughter he excludes the first, the ungracious or antisympathetic class, leaving the second class of social delight, and the third class of amused laughter. His theory of laughter restricts it to the social delight of greeting or play and sense of the ludicrous. Professor the M^oDougall restricts further by ignoring all non-amusing forms and defining laughter as "an instinct of aberrant type" that is "accompanied by an emotional excitement of *specific quality*, the quality that is best called 'amusement'" 10. This extreme restriction sharply contrasts two possible

estimates of laughter. Laughter may have one characteristic emotion, the sense of the ludicrous, and its other varieties may be apparent only because other emotions mingle into its proper emotion. Or it may, as a converse of anger with its single emotion and many actions, have one action of body and many, perhaps very many, emotions.

Any advance in knowledge can, from one point of view, be described as a progressive disclosure under scrutiny, as a white patch far distant up the road divides, as it approaches the observer, into a flock of sheep and as each member of the flock, on nearer approach or on closer observation, reveals its value as wool or mutton. There has been much thinking and much writing on laughter, but its progressive disclosure of itself to scrutiny has been, admittedly, very small. This reluctance of laughter to disclose its own nature increases the importance of holding firmly to what can be known. The decision between singleness and multiplicity in laughter which study meets at the outset cannot be dogmatically or assuredly made. But, since it does seem possible to discover some elements in laughter, though they may be few, that favour its multiple nature, it seems to be wise method to assume at the beginning a connection between the act of laughing and a variety of emotions. As the argument proceeds on this preliminary assumption it will

be found to include still more kinds of laughter than have been already described. These further varieties of laughter seem to be as clearly different species as those included in the three classes so ruthlessly reduced to one single kind by Professor M^eDougall. If there are many ways of laughing these seemingly additional species are of them. If the final decision falls on singleness for laughter they will be condemned as false appearances along with all ungracious laughing and even, if Professor M^oDougall has his way, along with the laughter of greeting or of play. Congruency with the facts of laughter, so far as these can be discovered, must ultimately decide between the rival hypotheses. If the monistic view of laughter, as M^oDougall's theory may be conveniently called, most adequately coordinates the facts and estimates of them, it will prevail. If Eastman's concession of one or two extra varieties to laughter co-ordinates most adequately, his modestly pluralistic theory will prevail over M^eDougall's. If the freely pluralistic estimate, as it may be called to contrast it with the monistic, is more adequate than the other two, it will prevail over them both. It will be assumed here that there are many laughters : laughters of triumph, of scorn and contempt, of superiority, of self-congratulation, of play, of greeting, and of amusement, which includes pure comic perception of the ludicrous and

humour with sympathy. Other varieties will be involved in the connection of these with the fundamental facts of laughter. The following chapters will present laughter in terms of the hypothesis that it has had, and still has, many varieties. No dogmatism is intended, for laughter eludes all dogmatism and laughs at it, but an attempt is simply made to identify some features of human laughter and to connect them consistently.