

TRUMPING THE MAINSTREAM

THE CONQUEST OF DEMOCRATIC POLITICS
BY THE POPULIST RADICAL RIGHT



EDITED BY
LISE ESTHER HERMAN
AND JAMES MULDOON

ROUTLEDGE

TRUMPING THE MAINSTREAM

In 2016, the striking electoral success of the UK Vote Leave campaign and Donald Trump's presidential bid defied conventional expectations and transformed the political landscape. Considered together, these two largely unpredicted events constitute a defining moment in the process of the incorporation of far-right populist discourse in mainstream politics.

This timely book argues that there has been a change in the fundamental dynamic of the mainstreaming of far-right populist discourse. In recent elections, anti-establishment actors have rewritten the playbook, defeated the establishment and redefined political norms. They have effectively outplayed, overtaken and trumped mainstream parties and policies.

As fringe discourse becomes mainstream, how we conceive of the political landscape and indeed the very distinction between a political centre and periphery has been challenged. This book provides new theoretical tools and empirical analyses to understand the ongoing mainstreaming of far-right populism. Offering case studies and comparative research, it analyses recent political events in the US, UK, France and Belgium. This book is essential reading for scholars and students of populism and far-right politics who seek to make sense of recent world-altering events.

Lise Esther Herman is a lecturer in politics at the University of Exeter.

James Muldoon is a lecturer in politics at the University of Exeter.

Routledge Studies in Extremism and Democracy
Series Editors: Roger Eatwell, University of Bath, and
Matthew Goodwin, University of Kent.
Founding Series Editors: Roger Eatwell, University of Bath and
Cas Mudde, University of Antwerp-UFSIA.

www.routledge.com/politics/series/ED

This new series encompasses academic studies within the broad fields of 'extremism' and 'democracy'. These topics have traditionally been considered largely in isolation by academics. A key focus of the series, therefore, is the (inter-)relation between extremism and democracy. Works will seek to answer questions such as to what extent 'extremist' groups pose a major threat to democratic parties, or how democracy can respond to extremism without undermining its own democratic credentials.

The books encompass two strands:

Routledge Studies in Extremism and Democracy includes books with an introductory and broad focus, which are aimed at students and teachers. These books will be available in hardback and paperback. Titles include:

The Populist Radical Reader

A Reader

Edited by Cas Mudde

The Far Right in America

Cas Mudde

Routledge Research in Extremism and Democracy offers a forum for innovative new research intended for a more specialist readership. These books will be in hardback only. Titles include:

40. When Does Terrorism Work?

Diego Muro

41. Trumping the Mainstream

The Conquest of Mainstream Democratic Politics by the Populist Radical Right

Edited by Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon

TRUMPING THE MAINSTREAM

The Conquest of Democratic Politics
by the Populist Radical Right

*Edited by Lise Esther Herman and
James Muldoon*

First published 2019
by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2019 selection and editorial matter, Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Herman, Lise Esther, editor. | Muldoon, James B., editor.

Title: Trumping the mainstream : the conquest of mainstream democratic politics by the populist radical right / edited by Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon.

Description: Abingdon, Oxon ; New York, NY : Routledge, 2019. |

Series: Routledge studies in extremism and democracy |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018015366 | ISBN 9781138502635 (hbk) |

ISBN 9781138502659 (pbk) | ISBN 9781315144993 (ebk)

Subjects: LCSH: Right and left (Political science)—Europe. | Right and

left (Political science)—United States. | Right wing extremists—

Europe. | Right wing extremists—United States. | Populism—Europe. |

Populism—United States. | Political culture—Europe. | Political

culture—United States. | Trump, Donald, 1946—Influence.

Classification: LCC JC573.2.E85 T78 2019 | DDC 320.5—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2018015366>

ISBN: 978-1-138-50263-5 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-138-50265-9 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-315-14499-3 (ebk)

Typeset in Bembo

by Swales & Willis Ltd, Exeter, Devon, UK

CONTENTS

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>List of tables</i>	<i>x</i>
<i>Notes on contributors</i>	<i>xi</i>

Introduction: populism in the twenty-first century: from the fringe to the mainstream	1
<i>Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon</i>	

PART I

Changing strategies in the PRR political landscape 21

- 1 The mainstreaming of far-right extremism online and how to counter it: a case study on UK, US and French elections
Jacob Davey, Erin Marie Saltman and Jonathan Birdwell 23
- 2 Populisms in Europe: leftist, rightist, centrist and paternalist–nationalist challengers
Zsolt Enyedi and Martin Mölder 54
- 3 Populist nationalism and ontological security: the construction of moral antagonisms in the United Kingdom, Switzerland and Belgium
Joseph Lacey 95

4	Left, right, but no in-between: explaining American polarisation and post-factualism under President Trump <i>Christopher Sebastian Parker, Sebastian Mayer, and Nicole Buckley</i>	112
5	Paving the way for Trump: the Tea Party's invisible influence on the 2016 election <i>Kristin Haltinner</i>	130
6	"Ni droite, Ni gauche, Français!" Far right populism and the future of Left/Right politics <i>Marta Lorimer</i>	145
PART II		
	The impact of the PRR on mainstream politics	163
7	Populist radical right mainstreaming and challenges to democracy in an enlarged Europe <i>Bartek Pytlas</i>	165
8	The weight of negativity: the impact of immigration perceptions on the Brexit vote <i>Sarah Harrison</i>	185
9	From soft to hard Brexit: UKIP's not so invisible influence on the Eurosceptic radicalisation of the Conservative Party since 2015 <i>Agnès Alexandre-Collier</i>	204
10	So close, yet so far: the French Front National and Les Républicains (2007–2017) <i>Florence Haegel and Nonna Mayer</i>	222
11	There's something about Marine: strategies against the far right in the 2017 French presidential elections <i>Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon</i>	246
	<i>Index</i>	271

FIGURES

1.1	Spikes in far- and extreme-right key terms used in Twitter posts in association with the Brexit vote	27
1.2	Screenshots drawn from the Knights Templar International webpage, which illustrate the internationalisation of far-right nationalist agendas	28
1.3	One of the 'Trump Train' memes trending online	31
1.4	Total number of Twitter posts referencing 'Trump Train' and 'Trumptrain' throughout the election period	32
1.5	Share of total social media traffic gathered with reference to the 'Trump Train' meme with provenance in forums and blogs (in %)	33
1.6	Share of total social media traffic gathered with reference to 'cuckoldry' and Jeb Bush's candidacy with provenance in forums and blogs (in %)	34
1.7	Total number of Twitter posts containing reference to cuckoldry and Jeb Bush	35
1.8	Total number of Twitter posts including keywords related to 'Pizzagate'	36
1.9	Total number of French Twitter posts including extreme-right key terms	39
1.10	Comparison of volume of extreme-right French Twitter posts with mainstream French political discourse on Twitter	40
1.11	Comparison of volume of extreme-right French Twitter posts including those related to Macron Leaks with mainstream French political discourse on Twitter	41
1.12	Total number of Twitter posts associated with extreme-right keywords	42

1.13	Total number of Twitter posts geo-located to France containing extreme-right keywords	42
1.14	Screenshot of a thread on the 4chan image board containing content designed to, and instructions of how to, promote the AfD to mainstream audiences	45
2.1	Distribution of populist and other parties on the general left–right dimension	62
2.2	Distribution of parties in the two-dimensional left–right space of the Chapel Hill data set. The dots indicate individual party-years. Populist parties are shown in black	63
2.3	Mean values for the clusters of populist parties, Chapel Hill data. The mean values of all other parties are indicated with +	66
2.4	Mean values for the clusters of populist parties, MARPOR data. The mean values of all other parties are indicated with +	67
2.5	Clusters of populist parties in the two-dimensional ideological space, Chapel Hill data	71
2.6	Location of populist clusters on the general, economic and socio-cultural left–right dimension, Chapel Hill data	72
2.7	Average locations of CH clusters on the specific dimensions over time. Values are shown on the original scale of the Chapel Hill data set	74
2.8	Average locations of MARPOR clusters on the specific dimensions over time. Values are shown on the logit scale of Lowe et al. 2011	75
2.9	Locations of populist parties in the political landscapes of individual countries (Chapel Hill data), I	77
2.10	Locations of populist parties in the political landscapes of individual countries (Chapel Hill data), II	78
2.11	Schematic configuration of populist parties in the two-dimensional left–right space	79
8.1	Extreme right ideological conceptual map	189
10.1	Feeling that the FN is a danger for democracy	228
10.2	Votes by party proximity in the first round of the 2016 primaries of the right and centre	232
10.3	UMP/LR and FN leaners approving an alliance between their parties in regional elections	233
10.4	Votes in first round of the 2017 presidential election by position on left–right scale	235
10.5	Agreeing there are too many immigrants in France by votes	237
10.6	Agreeing to restore the death penalty by votes	237
10.7	Agreeing women are not only made to have children by votes	238
10.8	Agreeing homosexual couples have the right to adopt by votes	238

10.9	Agreeing the unemployed could work if they really wanted by votes	239
10.10	Agreeing to give priority to firms' improvement before workers' condition by votes	239
10.11	Agreeing France benefited from the EU by votes	240
10.12	Agreeing belonging to the EU is a good thing by votes	240
11.1	All candidate criticism of the FN	253
11.2	All candidate criticism of the FN as a threat to democracy	253
11.3	All statements on the FN	254
11.4	Criticism of the flawed project of the FN	255
11.5	Dimension of party platform referred to when talking about the FN	255
11.6	Reference to the FN in strategy against other opponents	256
11.7	Evolution of the types of criticism of the FN adopted by Macron	261
11.8	Evolution of Emmanuel Macron's criticism of the FN's flawed project	261

TABLES

2.1	Populist parties in Europe since 1990	57
2.2	Distribution of populist and non-populist parties across the four quadrants of the two-dimensional political space	64
2.3	Correspondence of the individual party classifications across the two data sets	68
2.4	Examples of populist parties across the clusters	69
2.A1	Populist parties in the Manifesto and Chapel Hill data sets, 1990–2016	81
2.A2	Classification of parties according to the Chapel Hill data set	83
2.A3	Classification of parties according to the MARPOR data set	85
8.1	Most convincing arguments about leaving and remaining in the EU	196
8.2	Top 10 word associations of “Remain” and “Leave”	197
8.3	If the EU was a song or a movie character	198
8.4	Trust and distrust in Westminster and the European Parliament	199
9.A1	Conservative MPs’ UKIP populism	217
10.1	Votes on party motions among UMP members (November 2012 party elections)	230
10.2	Votes for the primaries of the right and of the centre (November 2016)	231
10.3	Votes in the first round of presidential elections (2007–2017)	234

CONTRIBUTORS

Coeditors' biographies

Lise Esther Herman is a lecturer in politics at the University of Exeter and received a PhD in European Studies from the London School of Economics and Political Science in 2016. Her research seeks to develop new theoretical and methodological tools to study the role of partisanship in contemporary democracy. In 2017 her PhD was awarded the UK Political Science Association (PSA) McDougall Prize for best thesis in the field of Elections, Electoral Systems and Representation studies. She has published her work in the *American Political Science Review*, the *European Political Science Review*, and in academic online media such as the *LSE Review of Books* and *La Vie des Idées*.

James Muldoon is a lecturer in politics at the University of Exeter, having received a joint PhD from the University of Warwick and Monash University in August 2016. His research interrogates the meaning of democracy and examines how it has been institutionalised in different historical periods. He has published articles in *Political Studies*, *History of Political Thought*, *Theory, Culture & Society*, *Constellations*, *Critical Horizons* and *Parrhesia*.

Contributor biographies

Agnès Alexandre-Collier is Professor of British Civilisation and Politics at the University of Burgundy (Dijon, France) and Director of the Centre Interlangues. Her main research interests are in French and British centre-right political parties with a special focus on the organisational impact of European integration and more extensively, party organisational changes. She is the author of several articles and books including: *Les habits neufs de David Cameron. Les conservateurs britanniques* (1990–2010) (Presses de Sciences Po, 2010), and *Leadership and Uncertainty*

Management in Politics, Leaders, Followers and Constraints in Western Democracies, edited with François Vergnolle de Chantal (Palgrave, 2015).

Jonathan Birdwell is the Head of Policy and Research at the Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD). His expertise lies in youth political engagement, ‘digital literacy’ and social media’s role in radicalisation and counter-extremism efforts. He oversees development of innovative methodologies for measuring and evaluating CVE efforts. Mr Birdwell manages ISD’s Strong Cities Network; a global network of mayors, policy makers and practitioners working to build community resilience to violent extremism.

Nicole Buckley is an undergraduate student at the University of Washington, where she will earn her Bachelor of Arts in political science in 2019. Her undergraduate thesis interrogates the way in which social movement theory makes sense of the American post-war far right, especially through the lens of deprivation. Among her interests are American politics, voter trends and public law.

Jacob Davey is a researcher and project coordinator at the Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD), overseeing development and delivery of a range of online counter-extremism initiatives. His research interests include the role of communications technologies in intercommunal conflict, the use of internet culture in information operations, and the extreme right globally. He regularly provides commentary on issues surrounding far-right extremism and has advised national and local policy makers on counter-extremism issues.

Zsolt Enyedi is Professor at the Department of Political Science at the Central European University. He (co)authored two and (co)edited eight volumes and published numerous articles and book chapters, mainly on party politics and political attitudes. His articles appeared in journals such as *European Journal of Political Research*, *Political Studies*, *Political Psychology*, *West European Politics*, *Party Politics*, *Europe-Asia Studies*, *Problems of Post-Communism*, *Democratization*, *Journal of Legislative Studies*, *Journal of Political Ideologies*, etc. He was the 2003 recipient of the Rudolf Wildenmann Prize and the 2004 winner of the Bibó Award. He held research fellowships at the Woodrow Wilson Center, Notre Dame University, NIAS, EUI, and Johns Hopkins University.

Florence Haegel is a full professor of political science at Sciences Po, Paris, and Director of the Centre d’études européennes et de politique comparée de Sciences Po/CNRS. Her main research topics are political parties, politicization and citizens’ attitudes towards Europe. She is an expert on right-wing political parties (*Les droites en fusion*, Paris, Presses de Sciences Po) and has recently published, ‘Parties and party systems: Making the French sociocultural approach matter’, in Robert Elgie, Emiliano Grossman, Amy G. Mazur (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of French Politics* (Oxford, OUP, 2016, pp. 373–393).

Kristin Haltinner is an assistant professor of sociology, the director of the Certificate in Diversity and Stratification, and the director of the Africana Studies minor at the University of Idaho. Her research is on right-wing ideology and social movement organizations; racial formation and discourse; social inequality; and critical pedagogy. Her recent projects focus on the TEA Party Patriots, climate change scepticism, anti-immigrant militias, and traumatic birth experiences. Haltinner teaches classes on diversity and inequality including Racial and Ethnic Relations, Sociology of Gender, and Diversity and Stratification.

Sarah Harrison is an assistant professorial research fellow in the Department of Government, London School of Economics and Political Science. Her research interests feature electoral psychology, youth participation, and democratic frustration. Recent publications include *Youth Participation in Democratic Life* (2016, with Cammaerts, Banaji et al.), articles and co-authored works in *Parliamentary Affairs*, *Nature Human Behaviour*, *Comparative Political Studies* and *American Behavioral Scientist*. Her research has been recognised by prestigious awards from the ESRC, the political psychology section of APSA, and collaborative projects she has worked on have been merited by the ERC and the Market Research Society.

Joseph Lacey is an assistant professor of political theory at University College Dublin, and holds a PhD from the Department of Political and Social Sciences at the European University Institute. He has written on a range of issues in political theory, comparative politics and international politics. His monograph, entitled *Centripetal Democracy: Democratic Legitimacy in Belgium, Switzerland and the European Union*, was released in 2017.

Marta Lorimer is a PhD candidate at the European Institute, London School of Economics and Political Science. Her thesis focuses on the place of Europe in the ideology of the French Front National and the Italian Movimento Sociale Italiano/Alleanza Nazionale. Prior to her PhD, she completed a dual degree programme in European Studies between Sciences Po Paris and the London School of Economics. She is an Early Career Research Fellow at the Centre for the Analysis of the Radical Right (CARR) and a regular contributor to the LSE Euromag blog.

Nonna Mayer is CNRS Research Director Emerita at the Centre d'études européennes et de politique comparée de Sciences Po/CNRS. She edits the series 'Contester' at the Presses de Sciences Po. Her main research topics are right-wing extremism, electoral behaviour, racism and anti-Semitism. Her recent publications include: *Les faux semblants du Front national. Sociologie d'un parti politique* (Paris, Presses de Sciences Po, 2015, co-ed. with A.Dézé and S.Crépon); 'The closing of the Radical Right Gender Gap in France?' (*French Politics*, 13(4), 2015: 391–414); and 'From Jean-Marie to Marine Le Pen: Electoral Change on the Far Right' (*Parliamentary Affairs*, 2013 (66): 160–178).

Sebastian Mayer is a PhD student in the Department of Political Science at the University of Washington in Seattle. He received his BA in American Studies from Heidelberg University, Germany. His research interests include American Politics and Comparative Politics, especially the topics of political polarization, political impact of social movements, and minority politics.

Martin Mölder is doctoral candidate at the Department of Political Science at the Central European University (CEU). Prior to CEU he was working at the Institute of Government and Politics at the University of Tartu, Estonia. Currently, he is also teaching statistical analysis at the ECPR Summer School in Methods and Techniques. His main work has focused on the measurement of political differences between parties, but he has also worked more generally on the analyses of party systems, political institutions, as well as the measurement and meaning of democracy. His articles were published in various journals including *Party Politics* and *Nations and Nationalism*.

Christopher Sebastian Parker is the Stuart A. Scheingold Professor of Social Justice and Political Science in the department of political science at the University of Washington, Seattle. Parker is the author of *Change They Can't Believe In: The Tea Party and Reactionary Politics in America* (Princeton).

Bartek Pytlas received his doctoral degree in Comparative Political Science from European University Viadrina in Frankfurt (Oder). He is currently Assistant Professor of Political Systems and European Integration at the Geschwister Scholl Institute of Political Science, LMU Munich. He is the author of the monograph *Radical Right Parties in Central and Eastern Europe: Mainstream Party Competition and Electoral Fortune* (Routledge, 2015).

Erin Marie Saltman is the policy manager at Facebook leading counter-extremism and counterterrorism efforts in Europe, the Middle East and Africa. She received a PhD in Political Science at SSEES at University College London (UCL), focusing on contemporary processes of post-communist political socialization and radicalization. Her expertise includes both far-right and Islamist extremist processes of radicalisation and counter-extremism efforts within a range of socio-political contexts. Erin Saltman remains a Fellow at the Institute for Strategic Dialogue. She regularly advises governments, security sectors and NGOs on issues related to online extremism and CVE.

INTRODUCTION

Populism in the twenty-first century: from the fringe to the mainstream

Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon

Introduction

In the past two years, the striking electoral success of the UK Vote Leave campaign, Donald Trump's presidential bid and the 10.6 million votes gathered by Front National (FN) candidate, Marine Le Pen, in the second round of the French presidential elections defied conventional expectations and transformed the political landscape of the three major first wave democracies. Considered together, these largely unpredicted events constitute a defining moment in the process of the incorporation of Populist Radical Right (PRR) discourse in mainstream politics. Following the emerging academic consensus on populism, we understand it as a form of political discourse that opposes the people, conceived as a homogeneous and well defined whole, and its enemies, embodied both by a self-serving liberal elite and corrupting minorities (Canovan, 1999; Panizza, 2005; Stanley, 2008). Populist Radical Right Parties (PRRPs) combine a populist discourse with two core ideological pillars: a nativist form of nationalism that strives towards the congruence of state and nation, and a brand of authoritarianism that aspires towards an orderly and hierarchical society (for an overview, see Mudde, 2007, pp. 16–23). The term political *mainstream*, in turn, is not understood in terms of ideological content but in terms of location on a given political spectrum: the share of established parties and public opinion that can be considered as dominant in a given system, and have thus the means to access government and directly influence policy-making.

This edited volume interrogates the changing relationship between PRR and mainstream politics in light of these major elections and referenda of 2016–2017 in the UK, US and France. First, to say that mainstream politics has been “trumped” in this context is to draw attention to the fact that radical right populists are more able than in the past to win majorities in national elections and referenda, and therefore have gained more direct control over the political agenda. Second, these

events cast a new light on the role of mainstream political parties in the electoral success of PRRPs. In the case of the UK and US in particular, the Conservatives and the Republican Party have contributed to political processes that have ultimately divided and compromised them. Third, these events have altered our political imagination in relation to the threats and opportunities posed by far-right populist discourse. The defeat of mainstream candidates and policies in the US and the UK has transformed the nature of political contestation elsewhere, opening up new horizons of possibility and raising the hopes of populist candidates.

This introduction proceeds as follows. We first review previous research that has focused on the evolving relation of PRR and mainstream politics in the past decades, and in particular the strategic response of mainstream parties to the rise of far-right parties on the fringe of the political spectrum. We then provide an overview of the events that have motivated the publication of this volume. We argue that they represent a new stage in the mainstreaming of PRR discourse, with a change in the fundamental dynamic of what has been called the “normalisation of the right” (Berezin, 2013). The last section of this introduction emphasises the contribution of this volume to the current literature, and provides a detailed outline of its different chapters.

The evolving relationship between the PRR and the political mainstream

Recent spectacular electoral advances of the PRR fall within a longer history of political success. Starting in the mid-1980s, what is commonly understood as the third wave of post-war PRR politics (Von Beyme, 1988) has since then expanded rather than receded. Notwithstanding temporary setbacks and some geographical exceptions, this political family has steadily increased its vote share and agenda-setting capacity in mostly all advanced democracies over the past three decades. Meanwhile, the relationship between fringe and mainstream politics has fundamentally changed. From their emergence in the 1980s up to the late 1990s, far-right parties were still conceived by mainstream politicians as fringe actors rather than as key players. Their growing success has since altered mainstream party responses, from the initial *dismissal* of far-right parties, issues and positions to their progressive *accommodation* in governmental discourse and practice, without this necessarily halting the success of PRRPs or leading to a moderation of their claims. This process has generated extensive academic interest, with publications on populist far-right parties outnumbering those on all other party families taken together since the early 1990s (Bale, 2012, pp. 256–257; Mudde, 2013; 2016b, pp. 2–3).

The rise of PRRPs at the fringe of mainstream politics

After decades of marginalisation following the Second World War, PRRPs started gaining ground in the mid-1980s in Western Europe. The relatively isolated electoral victories of the French FN or Austrian FPÖ have since then become

common in the European political landscape. Between 1980 and 2004, the mean share of votes in lower house elections for the seven most important far-right parties in Western Europe shifted from 5.4% to 14% (Norris, 2005, p. 8). Despite these electoral successes, the far right nevertheless remained at the margin of mainstream politics up until the early 2000s. The dominant response of the mainstream centre-right and left has initially been to either *dismiss* far-right parties by ignoring them, or to adopt *adversarial* strategies to forcefully oppose and exclude them (Meguid, 2005, p. 256). The issues far-right parties raised were therefore often ignored by parties in government. Their presence in the political landscape was generally pictured as a remnant of the past, bound to eventually recede in advanced democratic societies.

The “normal pathology thesis” (Mudde, 2016a, p. 3) is especially significant during this period. Scholars often picture the PRRPs as an anomaly produced by economic, social and political crises rather than as a novel but permanent feature of changing European party systems. The larger share of this first wave of literature thus adopts the lens of modernisation theory to elucidate the determinants of the populist surge (Betz, 1994; Inglehart, 1997; Kriesi et al., 2006; Swank & Betz, 2003). PRR success is mostly considered as dependent on a larger process of attitudinal change caused by the socio-economic disruptions of globalisation. As a result, electoral studies that focus on the attitudinal and socio-demographic characteristic of far-right voters dominate the field, as scholars rely on the quantitative analysis of secondary data to analyse “demand-side” factors for the success of PRRPs (see, for example, Arzheimer, 2009; Golder, 2003; Lubbers, Gijsberts, & Scheepers, 2002; for an overview see Mudde, 2007). A smaller share of the vast literature documenting this first stage is concerned with terminological debates. These works engage with the conceptualisation of right-wing populism, the categorisation of PRRPs and the theorisation of the relationship between democracy and populism (Canovan, 1999; Mudde, 1996, 2004; Panizza, 2005).

Far-right parties have continued their electoral ascendancy in the new millennium. Notable electoral records include the Swiss SVP gaining 28.9% of the popular vote in the 2007 general election, the Front National and UKIP receiving the most votes of any single party in the UK and France in the 2014 European elections (Mounk, 2014), and the FPÖ candidate Norbert Hofer nearly winning the 2016 Austrian presidential elections with 46.7% of the vote. The Tea Party also arose in the US in 2009 as a response to Obama’s victory and fears of reform on healthcare, taxation, government spending and gun control. In parallel, we have witnessed a shift of mainstream parties’ attitudes towards these outside contenders in a bid to conquer part of the growing PRR electorate and to preserve the integrity of their own voter base.

The accommodation of the PRR by mainstream politics

Abandoning dismissive and adversarial positions, mainstream parties have increasingly adopted strategies of *accommodation* from the late 1990s onwards (Meguid, 2005).

In other words, they attempted to limit the attractiveness of PRR platforms by aligning with their voters' political preferences and contesting PRR ownership of immigration, minority integration and law and order issues. Centre-right and, to a lesser extent, centre-left parties, have thus promoted more restrictive policies and placed a greater emphasis on these key questions, while more generally shifting rightward on the liberal–authoritarian axis to the point of defending strikingly similar views to the PRR (Abou-Chadi, 2014; Bale, Green-Pedersen, Krouwel, Luther, & Sitter, 2010; Han, 2015; Meguid, 2008; van Spanje, 2010; Wagner & Meyer, 2017).¹ This tendency is especially clear in France, for instance, where the centre-right Les Républicains has gradually sidelined its Gaullist heritage and radicalised its positions on cultural issues under the influence of the Front National (Godin, 2013; Haegel, 2012). Conservatives are also less likely to shy away from forming government coalitions with PRR parties than in the past, a scenario that has occurred in Italy, Austria, Denmark, the Netherlands, Norway and Switzerland since the late 1990s (de Lange, 2012). In the United States, the Republican Party has undergone a similar process of radicalisation in the wake of the 11 September 2001 attacks and under the growing influence of the Tea Party, with anti-establishment conservatism becoming the party's main line (Horwitz, 2013).

Whereas the success of PRRPs was mainly considered as a dependent variable in the previous wave of publications, resulting from structural changes in public opinion, the mainstreaming of PRR ideas has led to a shift in focus. The literature of the 2000s and 2010s increasingly considers the radical right as an independent variable: PRRPs are now studied as political actors that exercise agency within a political system. A large share of the scholarship thus focuses on the *effects* of PRRPs on the political mainstream. Studies have, for instance, measured and categorised the strategies that centre-right and centre-left parties deploy to counter these radical contenders, contrasting dismissive, adversarial and accommodative strategies (Bale et al., 2010; Downs, 2001, 2002; Meguid, 2005, 2008). Scholars also distinguish between the influence of the PRR on policy decisions (policy effects), and effects on the salience of issues that are key to PRR platforms in public debate (agenda-setting effects) (on this distinction, see Minkenberg, 2001). Finally, the literature differentiates the *direct* effects that PRR parties in public office may have on policy and issue salience, and the *indirect* effects on the policy decisions and political agenda of mainstream political parties (on this distinction, see Schain, 2006).

While overall the literature has indeed identified a “contagion effect” (van Spanje, 2010) of PRR politics on the political mainstream, a number of elements nuance this broad conclusion. First, a disproportionate share of empirical studies base these assessments on an analysis of the immigration policy of mainstream parties, and of the salience of immigration and integration issues in mainstream party programs (see for instance Abou-Chadi, 2014; Akkerman, 2012; Bale, 2008b; Bale & Partos, 2014; Duncan, 2010; Duncan & Van Hecke, 2008; Minkenberg, 2001; Schain, 2006; van Spanje, 2010; Wagner & Meyer, 2017). While these studies provide a large amount of empirical evidence that a right-turn on these issues has indeed occurred in European party systems, far fewer publications have focused on

the impact of the PRR on policies that are not core to its agenda (Mudde, 2016b, p. 13). The available evidence, nevertheless, suggests that the PRR has a much more uncertain impact beyond issues of immigration, minority integration and law and order. On economic decision-making effects are more limited and vary significantly from one country to another (Schumacher & van Kersbergen, 2014; Wagner & Meyer, 2017). There is also no clear consensus on the systemic impact of PRR politics on the institutional foundations of liberal democracy (for contrasting views, see Albertazzi & Mueller, 2013; Mudde, 2013, pp. 10–11; Mudde & Rovira Kaltwasser, 2013), or on party system dynamics of polarisation and coalition-formation (for contrasting views, see Mudde, 2014; Pellikaan, de Lange, & van der Meer, 2016; Wolinetz & Zaslove, 2017). The extent to which populist rhetoric itself has contaminated mainstream political discourse is also a topic of controversy (Mudde, 2004; Rooduijn, de Lange, & van der Brug, 2014).

A second point qualifying the impact of PRRPs is the uncertain link between the emergence of these political forces and the right-wing radicalisation of the political mainstream. The impulse to counter PRR electoral success by contesting its ownership of immigration and integration issues has certainly played a role in mainstream programmatic shifts.² But party elites have also responded to long-term trends such as the rightward shift in public opinion due to the experience of globalisation as a (real or supposed) threat to cultural, economic and political security (Kriesi et al., 2008). In recent decades, mainstream radicalisation has also come as an answer to more circumscribed events, such as Islamist terrorist attacks, the 2008 financial crisis, as well as the refugee crisis that began in the early 2010s (Berezin, 2013; Kriesi & Pappas, 2015).

The role of other factors is evidenced by the fact that, as outlined by Mudde (2013, pp. 8–10), centre-right parties have adopted tougher immigration and integration policies across Europe regardless of the electoral strength of PRRPs. A number of studies indeed show that conservative governments have shifted to the right on these issues even in countries without PRR government participation or parliamentary presence (Bale, 2008a, pp. 458–459; Boswell & Hough, 2008; Smith, 2008). Scholars have also found that centre-right parties that form coalitions with the PRR are no more susceptible to right-wing radicalisation than those who do not (Akkerman, 2012; van Spanje, 2010, pp. 577–578). This supports the conclusion that such coalitions are primarily the consequence, rather than the cause, of a (previous) process of mainstream radicalisation (de Lange, 2012, pp. 913–914). Finally, Williams has found that the policy shifts of PRRPs on immigration and integration policy do not necessarily result in similar shifts by mainstream political parties (Williams, 2006, ch. 4, 8). Overall, these studies suggest that, while PRRPs certainly have an agenda-setting role in advanced democracies, there is also an autonomous logic to mainstream radicalisation (for a more extensive defence of this argument, see Mudde, 2016c). Centre-right and centre-left elites exercise political agency in shaping the ideological line of their party, and PRR electoral success is only one variable among others which they take into account in this process.

The impact of mainstream accommodation on PRR politics

In addition to studying the effect of PRR politics on the political mainstream, the wave of scholarship starting in the 2000s has also reversed the gaze, and considered the influence of mainstream radicalisation on PRRPs. In a number of countries, PRRPs appear to have initially pursued a strategy of “normalisation”, abandoning the most extreme features of their commitments and appropriating liberal values to become more accepted political actors (Berezin, 2009, 2013; Copsey, 2007; Halikiopoulou, Mock, & Vasilopoulou, 2013; Halikiopoulou & Vasilopoulou, 2010). At least up to the mid-2000s, while continued ostracisation by mainstream parties in certain countries maintained the fringe profile of PRRPs, accommodation strategies appear to have had a moderating effect where they were adopted (van Spanje & Van Der Brug, 2007). Much of the recent literature on this question, nevertheless, points to a new turn of the far right towards greater radicality. As demonstrated by Wagner and Meyer, PRRPs have adopted more extreme policy positions in the 2000s than in any other preceding decade (Wagner & Meyer, 2017). Over time, it also appears that this radicalisation has occurred in countries where PRRPs have been accommodated, and that non-ostracised parties have become just as extreme as their ostracised counterparts (Akkerman & Rooduijn, 2015). PRRPs have thus recovered their fringe status and continue to provide a fundamentally different political offer to the now radicalised political mainstream (Akkerman, de Lange, & Rooduijn, 2016; Odmalm & Hepburn, 2017). As a result, we have not witnessed a convergence of PRR and mainstream parties, but rather a rightward radicalisation of the whole political spectrum.

The literature also considers the role of mainstream party strategies in the electoral success of PRRPs. In this regard, mainstream strategies are considered as external supply-side factors contributing to PRRPs political opportunity structure and affecting their electoral fortunes (for an overview, see Mudde, 2007, pp. 232–255). The initial assumption of a number of scholars was that mainstream radicalisation would curb PRRP success. Early applications of spatial analysis to the rise of populism suggested that ideological convergence among mainstream parties and the sidelining of issues central to the PRRP created an unanswered political demand and thus a vacant policy space for these extreme contenders (Kitschelt & McGann, 1995). It was considered that in moving further to the right mainstream parties would answer these demands and thus reduce the need for such radical alternatives. In the mid-2000s, for instance, Meguid found evidence for her modified spatial theory according to which strategies of accommodation reduce the electoral weight of PRRPs by depriving them of the ownership of immigration and integration issues and providing voters with right-wing preferences a more serious government alternative (Meguid, 2005). In line with this reasoning, temporary electoral setbacks of the PRRPs in France, the Netherlands, Hungary or the UK have often been attributed to the successful cooptation of their political platform by mainstream centre-right parties (for an overview of these accounts, see Mudde, 2007, p. 241). As past experiences of mainstream coalitions with the Austrian FPÖ and the Dutch LPF show, governing with the PRR may also serve

to compromise its credibility as a populist outsider, and thus undermine its electoral success in the short run (Heinisch, 2003).

The past decade has, nevertheless, witnessed a continued expansion of the PRR voter base, despite widespread mainstream radicalisation and the formation of a number of coalitions with the PRRP. An alternative hypothesis on the relation between mainstream strategies and PRR success helps explain this trend. Policy co-optation increases the salience of immigration and integration issues in public debate, thereby serving to legitimise PRR concerns and policies (Arzheimer & Carter, 2006; Gruber & Bale, 2014; Minkenberg, 2013). In parallel, while mainstream radicalisation may serve to slow down the PRR in certain countries in the short run, it does not deprive PRRPs of the ownership of these increasingly salient issues in any lasting way. PRRPs are still perceived as the agenda-setters for these issues and, in the famous words of Jean-Marie Le Pen, voters will tend to prefer “the original to the copy” (Institut National de l’Audiovisuel, 1991). PRRPs also retain an anti-elitist populist quality that mainstream parties lack (Rooduijn et al., 2014), and which will appeal to the protest voter. Finally, and as seen above, by further radicalising in reaction to accommodation strategies, PRRPs have also maintained themselves as an alternative to the comparatively more moderate centre right (Akkerman et al., 2016; Odmalm & Hepburn, 2017; Wagner & Meyer, 2017).

Outsiders no longer: populist politics beyond the fringe

The history of the relationship between the PRR and the political mainstream is therefore one of a gradual conquest of democratic politics by right-wing radicalism. Mainstream accommodation has not produced a convergence of the PRR and mainstream that would have compromised the political relevance of the PRRPs. Rather, the whole political spectrum has experienced a rightward radicalisation, which only further legitimises the far right as a key player. This edited volume explores key successes of the PRR over the past few years in light of this general evolution. In the following sections, we first provide an overview of the three main events that provided the impetus for this volume: the successful June 2016 Brexit referendum in the UK, the election of Donald Trump to the American presidency in November 2016, and the ascendency of the FN to the rank of a credible alternative in the 2017 French presidential campaign. We then discuss the significance of these events considering the evolving link between PRR and mainstream politics over the past decades, arguing that a qualitative shift has occurred in these instances whereby PRR actors and ideas have “trumped” the mainstream. In other words, they have gone beyond the mere influence of government parties to find their own independent place within the mainstream political system.

An overview of recent developments

The first major populist shock of 2016 was the dramatic success of the Leave campaign in the referendum on the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the

European Union. While British public opinion had long been more Eurosceptic than the EU average, only the populist UKIP and BNP explicitly campaigned against continued membership within the British political spectrum. Prior to the referendum, the Leave camp of UKIP members and dissident conservatives campaigned primarily on the issues of national sovereignty and immigration against establishment political actors on the Left and Right. Exit polls revealed that these were the most pressing issues for Leave voters, while Remain voters were more likely to be motivated by economic considerations and feelings of European identity (Ashcroft, 2016). It would have been difficult to predict Brexit a decade ago, even though many of these processes were already well under way. PRR actors were able to tap into longstanding feelings of vulnerability and loss of control following rapid changes from globalisation, austerity politics and the growth of supranational organisations. The significance of these issues increased through concerted campaigning efforts by UKIP and other Eurosceptic groups throughout the 2000s and early 2010s. Brexit was also enabled by the significantly greater turnout of older votes, particularly those Ford and Goodwin have labelled “The Left Behind”, referring to older white voters who lived primarily in rural and economically disadvantaged areas of the UK (Ford & Goodwin, 2014). These held different values to the London elite on questions of national identity and immigration, issues traditionally associated with the PRR and politicised by the Leave campaign. As final polls predicted a close victory of the Remain camp, the results of the Brexit vote came as a shock to many commentators in Europe and the rest of the world. What used to be a dream of the British PRR has since become the official foreign policy line of mainstream conservative actors in Westminster.

A couple of months later, Trump’s success over his Democrat rival, Hillary Clinton led to significant transformations of American politics. This victory of a Republican candidate who displays all of the attributes of a PRR actor – a populist discourse, nativist form of nationalism, and authoritarian tendencies – fits within a longer history of Republican radicalisation. The US has experienced a well-documented polarisation of politics over the past two decades (Baumer, 2010; Lefebvre & Sawicki, 2006; Sinclair, 2006). Republicans and Democrats are now more likely to hold consistently strong conservative or liberal views on key issues with a rise in partisan antipathy and a decline in mixed or undecided voters. This growth in ideological polarisation has been accompanied by declining rates of trust in politicians, political opponents, the media and political institutions. Such transformations have been particularly acute in the Republican Party, which over the past decade has shifted much further to the Right than the Democratic Party to the Left. Particularly during the years of Obama’s presidency, the far right was able to mobilise conservatives, which led to the rise of the Tea Party and the ousting of moderate members of the Republican Party in favour of more conservative ideologues. The rise of the Tea Party represents a dissatisfaction with establishment political actors and a desire for significant change from politics as usual. By 2011, the Tea Party had chapters in every state of the US and had succeeded in electing 45 Tea Party affiliated representatives in the 2010 midterm elections. They were

able to advance a number of PRR issues and changed the nature of the debate, paving the way for the victory of Trump against mainstream candidates in the Republican primaries of spring 2016, and his election as President of the United States in November of the same year.

Trump's administration has catapulted fringe political actors such as PRR political ideologue, Steve Bannon, and Trump's son-in-law, Jared Kushner, into the centre of power. He also appointed a number of arch-conservative figures to his new cabinet including Jeff Sessions, Betsy DeVos and Rick Perry. One of the more lasting legacies of Trump's presidency could be his ultra-conservative judicial appointments, following an unusually large number of vacancies due to obstruction by the Republican Party in the final years of the Obama presidency. He began his presidency by rewarding his PRR supporter base through a number of controversial executive orders on issues such as healthcare, immigration, military service, agriculture and the environment. Trump's success has also resulted in the rise of far-right media outlets such as Infowars and Breitbart, which have supported Trump's attacks on mainstream media and have gained large numbers of viewers. The proximity of the American President to radical groups was also made clear by his declarations following violence erupting at a white nationalist rally in Charlottesville in August 2017. Trump refused to condemn the neo-Nazi groups, declaring that there were "some very fine people on both sides" and expressing sympathy for protesters demonstrating against the removal of a statue of Confederate, General Robert E. Lee (Gray, 2017).

European populists were among the first to celebrate Trump's victory as they predicted this could trigger similar insurgencies across Europe. In the 2017 French presidential elections, the archetypal PRR Front National faced the centrist party En Marche!. Marine Le Pen won 21.3% of the vote in the first round of voting to be the second most popular candidate. While convincingly defeated 66.1% to 33.9% by Emmanuel Macron in the second round, the FN, nevertheless, acquired over 10 million votes, thereby achieving their highest yet score in national level elections and doubling the FN's voter base compared with Jean-Marie Le Pen's result 15 years earlier. These results have also resulted in the marginalisation of centre-right and centre-left parties Les Républicains (LR) and the Parti Socialiste (PS), which failed to enter the second round and, taken together, did not even obtain the number of votes gathered by the FN in the second round. The strong position of Marine Le Pen and the weakness of traditional parties may thus signal a deeper re-structuring of the political mainstream in France, which may, in line with the 2017 election, continue to oppose a centrist pole with the FN's radical alternative.

Beyond these three striking examples, the PRR has its ascendancy elsewhere. The PRR in Germany, Alternative for Germany (*AfD*), achieved a historic breakthrough in 2017 by winning 12.6% of the vote, securing 94 seats to be the third largest party and becoming the most overtly nationalist force to hold seats in the Bundestag since the end of the Second World War (for some background, see Arzheimer, 2015). In Austria, populist candidate, Norbert Hofer of the Freedom

Party of Austria (FPÖ), was defeated in a revote for the second round of the presidential election in December 2016 by the Greens' candidate, Alexander Van der Bellen, with 53.8% to 46.2%, thereby also appearing as a mainstream political alternative. This was confirmed in the October 2017 legislative elections, as the FPÖ won 25.97% of the vote in a campaign dominated by issues of immigration and border control (*The Guardian*, 2017). This paved the way for the third coalition government between the centre-right ÖVP and the FPÖ, with the PRRP obtaining key positions such as the Ministries for Interior Affairs, Defence, Social Affairs and Health, as well as the vice-chancellorship. The PRR thereby looks set to continue to exert a considerable degree of influence over mainstream European politics.

Towards a paradigm shift

Taken together, these different events signal not only the intensification of the dynamics of incorporation of PRR discourse, but also a more fundamental qualitative shift in the relationship between PRR and mainstream politics. As emphasised above, we define PRR as a type of political discourse that combines the populist opposition of “elites” and “the people”, with two core ideological pillars: nationalism and authoritarianism (for an overview, see Mudde, 2007, pp. 16–23). The term political *mainstream*, in turn, is understood not as a form of ideological moderation but as a dominant position within the political spectrum that allows particular parties and shares of public opinion to access government and directly influence policy-making.

We understand the significance of recent events for the relationship between the PRR and the political mainstream in three main ways. First, by “trumping” we mean that PRR actors and ideas not only influence government parties, but have found their own independent place within the political mainstream. With their electoral success in national elections and referendum in the three main cases discussed above, they have become a credible alternative, increased their agenda-setting capacity and, in certain case, achieved direct impact on policy-making. Mainstream actors in the US and the UK have now been defeated by the very same rhetoric and policies adopted from the PRR. In other countries, it seems only a matter of time before mainstream elites suffer the same fate.

Second, these events shed new light on the responsibility of mainstream parties for the rise of PRRPs, particularly in the UK and US where the mainstream conservative parties helped to create the political conditions that later divided them. Both the Brexit referendum initiative and the candidacy of Donald Trump came from within the political mainstream rather than from outside. Arguably, the mainstream has been only further radicalised as a result of these steps, to the extent that it has become a “functional equivalent” of the PRR (Mudde, 2016b, p. 16) posing comparable threats to democratic values, minority rights and international cooperation. While in France the populist challenge of 2017 has come from outside the political mainstream rather than from within, it constitutes a textbook example of

a mainstream strategy of PRR accommodation gone wrong: the radicalisation of the centre-right all through the 2000s has not stopped the ascendancy of the FN, and arguably has exacerbated it.

Third, recent political transformations have led to new opportunities for PRRs and have altered their position within the popular imaginary. Following the recent success of the far right, the mainstream now holds a different view of the possibilities and opportunities now currently open to these contenders. As fringe discourse becomes mainstream, how we conceive of the political landscape is under challenge. While it is unlikely that traditional rivalries between liberal and conservative parties will be completely displaced by emerging paradigms, political events in 2016–2017 have led to a radical shake up of party competition. These electoral episodes, for instance, raise questions concerning the significance of traditional paradigms of Left/Right, and the extent to which this dichotomy retains its explanatory power in contemporary politics. More fundamentally, what we traditionally consider the political centre and periphery has been challenged, and our shared understanding of acceptable forms of political discourse and contestation altered.

Contribution of the edited volume and outline of chapters

This book offers conceptual tools and empirical analyses to examine the implications of this qualitative shift in politics. Exploring the above-mentioned events, the chapters in this edited volume contribute in a number of ways to the existing literature on the relationship between mainstream and PRR politics. First, they seek to contribute to the “paradigmatic shift” in PRR studies that Mudde has called for by considering PRR parties no longer “as new outsider challenger parties, but also as institutionalized and integrated members of the political system” (Mudde, 2016b, p. 16). Rather than a pathological occurrence at the fringe of established democracy, PRR politics needs to be seen as a core part of the current political system.

Second, a large share of the PRR literature is centred on a small number of usual suspects in Western Europe. It also centres attention on the effects of PRR politics on the immigration policy of mainstream parties (Mudde, 2016b). But such a narrow focus limits our understanding of the evolving relationship between the PRR and the political mainstream. The chapters in this volume contribute to the literature by going beyond traditional case studies, subject matter and methodological choices to analyse the most significant events in PRR politics over the past few years. For instance, we provide key insights by purposefully drawing comparisons beyond the traditional geographical perimeter. The volume thus includes studies of American populism under Donald Trump as well as a contribution that adopts a comparative perspective on developments in the UK, the US and contemporary France. Other chapters provide unusual comparative insights, such as parallels between the territorial populism of the UK Independence Party and the New-Flemish Alliance, or between developments in post-communist Central Eastern Europe and Western Europe.

We also examine a range of issues beyond the impact of the PRR on mainstream immigration positions, including the online strategies of PRR groups to spread their ideas, the way in which mainstream party elites portray the populist right in their political discourse, the impact of PRR on foreign policy decisions, as well as the systemic impact of PRR on democratic institutions. Finally, along some more traditional methodologies that rely on public opinion surveys and secondary data on political parties, most contributions in this edited volume adopt more innovative approaches such as surveys in electoral psychology, elite surveys, the textual analysis of political discourse, party member interviews and participant observation.

The book is divided into two main sections that each interrogate a distinct dimension of the evolving nature of fringe and mainstream politics in recent years. The first five chapters focus on the PRR itself, and the ways in which the ideologies and strategies within this political family have evolved in recent years. To this extent, we consider the role of the PRR itself in the radicalisation of the political mainstream, starting with three comparative chapters. Jacob Davey, Erin Marie Saltman and Jonathan Birdwell undertake a comparative analysis of the online strategies of the PRR in recent elections. The chapter more specifically focuses on the scale and nature of online “information operations” – coordinated attempts to influence domestic or foreign political sentiment – by far-right and extreme-right online activists in the 2016 UK Referendum on EU Membership, the 2016 US national elections and the 2017 French national elections. The authors use a range of online social listening tools to map how key hashtags, slogans and memes were deployed and trended around each election. This chapter thus questions to what extent information operations were intensified or scaled up across these three elections; the extent to which information operations were coordinated internationally; and maps the tactics used to mainstream specific far-right ideologies targeted at more average voters. The findings suggest that, while there was limited observed coordination among far-right groups or activists to influence the Brexit vote, the surprising result motivated more coordinated efforts by far-right and extreme-right activists to influence mainstream public opinion in the US national elections through a range of online tactics. These tactics were then developed and deployed further in the French election, revealing sophisticated information operations in action. The chapter concludes that tackling this challenge adequately will require close, international cooperation between governments, social media companies and civil society organisations.

Next, we shift from the comparative analysis of online strategies to that of PRR ideologies. Zsolt Enyedi and Martin Mölder offer an overview of the ideological landscape of PRR politics in both Western and Central Eastern Europe. They start from the premise that the literature most often establishes a clear-cut contrast between besieged mainstream liberal elites and the increasingly powerful populist challengers, while disregarding the ideological diversity of the PRR family itself. Relying on data from the Manifesto Project on Political Representation (MARPOR) and from the Chapel Hill expert surveys of party positions (CH), they

nuance this common understanding by showing that parties customarily labelled “populist” differ significantly from each other in their demands and that the validity of a dichotomous approach varies across historical periods and geographical regions. They identify four types of PRR parties: centrist populists most common in Eastern-Central Europe, leftist populists in Southern Europe, neoliberal populists in North-Western Europe and paternalist-nationalist populists that are more evenly distributed but conspicuously missing from Southern Europe. The analysis confirms that populist parties have recently embraced many of the leftist economic values, but does not show any clear liberal–progressive turn in recent years: most PRR parties continue to represent the authoritarian pole of the European party systems. The article concludes that the way in which mainstream parties should handle the populist challenge depends, to a large extent, on which type of populist they face.

In the next chapter, Joseph Lacey examines the relationship between populism, nationalism and questions of ontological security. The chapter adopts an ideational definition of populism and explores its relationship to broader political–strategic and socio-cultural issues. For this purpose, it examines the British United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP), Switzerland’s Schweizerische Volkspartei/Swiss People’s Party (SVP), and Belgium’s Vlams Belang/Flemish Interest (VB) and Nieuw-Vlaamse Alliantie (N-VA). Because each party has had a significant impact on their respective countries, the analysis assists in better understanding the effect of rising populist parties on mainstream politics. The chapter claims that populist nationalism is able to embed itself in mainstream politics due to an underlying ontological uncertainty about the continued existence and prosperity of the nation-state when faced with perceived threats of immigration, economic openness and changing cultural values. Populists are able to exploit such feelings of vulnerability by putting forward a discourse of fear and insecurity, which plays on citizens’ concerns of open borders and a declining quality of life.

We conclude the first section with three case studies. Christopher Sebastian Parker, Sebastian Mayer and Nicole Buckley analyse the specific nature of American populism in the context of the election of Donald Trump. They place this success in the context of a long history of American reactionary politics by emphasising the importance of the increasing polarisation of American politics that has led to a “post-factualist” phase of political contestation. In this context, they nuance the role of economic anxiety, central to certain European analyses of populism, in the rise of PRR politics in the US, and point instead to the phenomenon of “status anxiety”. According to them, the main driver of Donald Trump’s victory is the feeling of many reactionaries that certain entitlements and prestige to which they feel accustomed are currently being eroded by impersonal forces and taken away by elites and outsiders.

The following contribution by Kristin Haltinner analyses the instrumental role of the Tea Party in laying the conditions that enabled Trump’s election. She draws on interviews, ethnographic data and an analysis of public opinion polls to investigate the contribution of the Tea Party to the mainstreaming of far-right populist discourse, the radicalisation of conservatives, and ultimately the rise of Trump.

While Haltinner recognises that Trump's electoral victory was the result of a combination of factors, the Tea Party initiated a significant shift in public discourse that provided a key opportunity structure for the surge in Trump's popularity. More specifically, the Tea Party strengthened three narratives that benefited Trump's campaign. First, they reinforced many conservatives' beliefs in America's loss of status as a hegemonic power, which enhanced the appeal of the slogan "Make America Great Again". Second, the Tea Party adopted an aggressive anti-intellectual stance, rejecting what they deemed to be political correctness and the falsification of climate change science by national and international organisations. Trump profited from his image as a straight-talker and his attacks on Leftist intellectuals, the mainstream media and the scientific community. Finally, the Tea Party rejected establishment politics, even within the Republican Party, and looked for political outsiders rather than experienced Washington politicians. The Tea Party was thus one major contributing factor to the political conditions leading to Trump's success.

Finally, Marta Lorimer provides an in-depth analysis of the evolution of the French Front National discourse, and the way in which it has attempted to redefine the traditional Left/Right cleavages and anchor a new division between "globalists" and "patriots". Lorimer traces the history of the political distinction between Left and Right as a heritage of the French Revolution and demonstrates that the distinction has continually been challenged. The Front National has attempted to avoid the negative connotations of the term "far right" by rejecting the Left/Right distinction. The chapter traces the ideological development within the Front National, from the "*ni droite, ni gauche*" doctrine adopted in the 1990s up until the 2017 election and Le Pen's characterisation of the opposition between "patriots" and "globalists". Lorimer argues that while emerging political divisions promoted by the FN are likely to have an ongoing significance and continue to reshape politics, they will not completely displace the Left/Right division. Rather, the two will likely co-exist leading to an increasingly complex politics with multiple divisions and competing frameworks of interpretation.

The second part of the edited volume focuses on the strategies that mainstream political actors have deployed to handle PRR success, and more generally on the impact of PRR politics on the political mainstream. Bartek Pytlas first outlines the impact of PRR politics on the institutions of liberal democracy, taking recent developments in Central Eastern Europe as his main focus. The cases of Hungary and Poland showcase how PRR politics can enter the mainstream and challenge the values and legitimacy of liberal democracy, thereby providing important lessons that go beyond the contextual specificities of this region. Indeed, democratic erosion in these two countries took place despite their performance as role models of democratic consolidation, suggesting that consolidated democracies in Western Europe are by no means immune to similar developments. By exploring the processes of PRR mainstreaming and the related challenges to liberal democracy in CEE, this chapter thus aims to contribute to a better conceptual understanding of mechanisms and consequences of PRR politics in a broader

European context. The analysis demonstrates that the ability of PRR political agency to gain mainstream legitimacy and impact liberal democracy results not only from mainstreaming strategies by PRR parties, but is galvanized through the mainstreaming of PRR politics by established parties themselves.

The following two chapters analyse the impact of PRR ideas on the political mainstream in the context of Brexit. Sarah Harrison illustrates the influence of PRR discourse on the Leave campaign and public opinion during the 2016 Referendum on the UK's membership of the European Union. First, she deploys a conceptual model previously developed with Bruter (Harrison & Bruter, 2011), which mapped far-right discourse along the dimensions of identity (cultural xenophobic and civic populist) and authoritarianism (reactionary and repressive). The first half of her analysis reveals a presence of all four pillars of extreme right ideology, particularly amongst the discourse of the Leave campaign. During the divisive campaign populist discourse cut across the Left/Right divide and one of the most decisive factors was the mobilisation of an exclusive conception of identity, which targeted immigrants as a major social problem. Second, she draws on data from a panel study conducted by the ECREP initiative in electoral psychology at the LSE to analyse the effect of populist discourse on the minds of voters leading up to and after the referendum on 23 June 2016. She shows that the Leave campaign was especially successful in persuading voters at an emotional level that leaving the EU would reduce immigration.

In a second analysis of Brexit, Agnès Alexandre-Collier examines the impact of UKIP's radical (Eurosceptic, anti-immigration and anti-political establishment) views on Conservative MPs. More specifically, she qualifies the actual extent of UKIP's influence on the Conservative shift from soft to hard Brexit since the referendum of June 2016 by taking a closer look at constituencies won by the Conservatives and where UKIP came second at the May 2015 general election. She relies on different databases spanning from May 2015 to the June 2017 general elections, including Conservative MPs' full electoral results in these local constituencies, their avowed stances on Brexit, and their Brexit vote estimates. The results of this analysis exposes a paradox at the heart of the Conservative party's current strategy towards Brexit: whereas the radicalisation of Conservative MPs was actually limited in the run-up to the Brexit referendum, the Conservative leadership continued to radicalise after the referendum by embarking on the road to a hard Brexit, though UKIP had ceased to be an actual threat at the local level. This suggests a strong endogenous logic of mainstream radicalisation in the UK.

Florence Haegel and Nonna Mayer proceed with an analysis of the French case, adopting a longer-term perspective on the interactions between the FN and the dominant right-wing party, Les Républicains (LR), (previously called UMP),³ from the presidential election of 2007 to the one of 2017. Relying on the secondary analysis of surveys conducted among party sympathisers, members and voters, aggregate data and the results of qualitative studies on both parties, they question the degree of ideological convergence of the two parties both on the level of elite discourse and voter attitudes. They also interrogate the effects of this ideological

convergence on party divisions, taking into account that parties are not only internally split into factions but are torn between the strategic expectations and ideological stances of elites, party members and voters. They show that the FN's strategy of normalisation and LR's strategy of accommodation face significant challenges, as they have intensified internal divisions in both organisations. While such strategies did occur at the level of party elites, they have not been met by ideological convergence among voters. The discrepancy between party level and voters' preferences appears to be especially true in the case of LR where internal party dynamics led to more radicalised party members.

The final contribution is by the editors of this volume, Lise Esther Herman and James Muldoon, who focus on the discursive strategies of mainstream party elites in the 2017 French presidential campaign to counter the Front National (FN). The unprecedented success of this PRR party in the 2017 French presidential elections posed a significant challenge for how competitors against the far right could counter its appeal. This chapter develops a novel approach to uncovering mainstream party strategies with regard to the PRR. It draws on the insights of positioning theory to analyse a total of 108 speeches and interviews in which the four main candidates to the French presidency in the 2017 campaign discuss the PRR. The authors find significant variation in candidates' patterns of discourse, but also establish that these distinct strategies are better understood as complex hybrids of categories in the existing literature. The data offers preliminary evidence that innovation in party strategy may offer one possible opportunity to overcome the weaknesses of traditional approaches of accommodation or dismissal to counter the PRR.

The various contributions to this edited volume suggest that we need new conceptual tools and empirical insights to examine the ongoing challenge of the mainstreaming of the PRR. While the contributors to this volume offer innovative interpretive frameworks and interesting case studies, the rapid pace of contemporary politics demands constant attention to properly understand emerging trends in the relationship between mainstream politics and the PRR.

Notes

- 1 European social-democratic parties have also adjusted their positions on the issues owned by the PPR, albeit less systematically and extensively than centre-right parties given their more limited exposure to radical right electoral pressure (Bale et al., 2010; Han, 2015, p. 432; Meguid, 2008). PRR parties are also more likely to influence the centre-left on immigration control than on issues of integration, while both policy issues are affected in centre-right platforms (Duncan & Van Hecke, 2008).
- 2 Given that the vast majority of studies in this field rely on the quantitative analysis of secondary data, little is known about the motivations of mainstream elites in initiating programmatic shifts. Indeed, the strategic calculations of mainstream elites are generally inferred through the lens of rational choice theoretical assumptions from their behaviour, rather than studied directly with, for example, in-depth party members interviews (for an exception, see Downs, 2001).
- 3 The change of name was voted in May 2015.

References

- Abou-Chadi, T. (2014). Niche party success and mainstream party policy shifts – how green and radical right parties differ in their impact. *British Journal of Political Science*, 46(2), 417–436. doi: 10.1017/S0007123414000155
- Akkerman, T. (2012). Comparing radical right parties in government: immigration and integration policies in nine countries (1996–2010). *West European Politics*, 35(3), 511–529.
- Akkerman, T., de Lange, S. L., & Rooduijn, M. (Eds.). (2016). *Radical right-wing populist parties in Western Europe: into the mainstream?* London: Routledge.
- Akkerman, T., & Rooduijn, M. (2015). Pariahs or partners? Inclusion and exclusion of radical right parties and the effects on their policy positions. *Political Studies*, 63(5), 1140–1157.
- Albertazzi, D., & Mueller, S. (2013). Populism and liberal democracy: populists in government in Austria, Italy, Poland and Switzerland. *Government and Opposition*, 48(3), 343–371. doi: 10.1017/gov.2013.12
- Arzheimer, K. (2009). Contextual factors and the extreme right vote in Western Europe, 1980–2002. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(2), 259–275.
- Arzheimer, K. (2015). The AfD: finally a successful right-wing populist Eurosceptic party for Germany? *West European Politics*, 38(3), 535–556. doi: 10.1080/01402382.2015.1004230
- Arzheimer, K., & Carter, E. (2006). Political opportunity structure and right-wing extremist party success. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(3), 419–443.
- Ashcroft, M. (2016). How the United Kingdom voted on Thursday. . . and why. *Lord Ashcroft Polls*. Retrieved from <http://lordashcroftpolls.com/2016/06/how-the-united-kingdom-voted-and-why/>
- Bale, T. (2008a). Politics matters: a conclusion. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 453–464. doi: 10.1080/13501760701847721
- Bale, T. (2008b). Turning round the telescope: centre-right parties and immigration and integration policy in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 315–330.
- Bale, T. (2012). Supplying the insatiable demand: Europe's populist radical right. *Government and Opposition*, 47(2), 256–274.
- Bale, T., Green-Pedersen, C., Krouwel, A., Luther, K. R., & Sitter, N. (2010). If you can't beat them, join them? Explaining social democratic responses to the challenge from the populist radical right in Western Europe. *Political Studies*, 58(3), 410–426.
- Bale, T., & Partos, R. (2014). Why mainstream parties change policy on migration: a UK case study – the Conservative Party, immigration and asylum, 1960–2010. *Comparative European Politics*, 12, 603–619.
- Baumer, D. C. (2010). *Parties, polarization, and democracy in the United States*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers.
- Berezin, M. (2009). *Illiberal politics in neoliberal times: culture, security and populism in the new Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Berezin, M. (2013). The normalization of the right in post-security Europe. In A. Schäfer & W. Streeck (Eds.), *Politics in the age of austerity*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Betz, H.-G. (1994). *Radical right-wing populism in Western Europe*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Boswell, C., & Hough, D. (2008). Politicizing migration: opportunity or liability for the centre-right in Germany? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 331–348. doi: 10.1080/13501760701847382
- Canovan, M. (1999). Trust the people! Populism and the two faces of democracy. *Political Studies*, 47(1), 2–16.
- Copey, N. (2007). Changing course or changing clothes? Reflections on the ideological evolution of the British National Party '1999–2006'. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 41(1), 61–82.

- de Lange, S. L. (2012). New alliances: why mainstream parties govern with radical right-wing populist parties. *Political Studies*, 60, 899–918.
- Downs, W. M. (2001). Pariahs in their midst: Belgian and Norwegian parties react to extremist threats. *West European Politics*, 24(3), 23–42. doi: 10.1080/01402380108425451
- Downs, W. M. (2002). How effective is the cordon sanitaire? *Journal of Conflict and Violence Research*, 4(1), 33–51.
- Duncan, F. (2010). Immigration and integration policy and the Austrian radical right in office: the FPÖ/BZÖ, 2000–2006. *Contemporary Politics*, 16(4), 337–354.
- Duncan, F., & Van Hecke, S. (2008). Immigration and the transnational European centre-right: A common programmatic response?. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 432–452.
- Ford, R., & Goodwin, M. (2014). *Revolt of the right: explaining support for the radical right in Britain*. London: Routledge.
- Godin, E. (2013). The porosity between the mainstream right and extreme right in France. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 53–67.
- Golder, M. (2003). Explaining variation in the success of extreme right parties in Western Europe. *Comparative Political Studies*, 36(4), 432–466.
- Gray, R. (2017). Trump defends white-nationalist protesters: 'some very fine people on both sides'. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2017/08/trump-defends-white-nationalist-protesters-some-very-fine-people-on-both-sides/537012/
- Grubera, O., & Bale, T. (2014). And it's good night Vienna. How (not) to deal with the populist radical right: the Conservatives, UKIP and some lessons from the heartland. *British Politics*, 9(3), 237–254.
- Haegel, F. (2012). *Les droites en fusion, Transformations de l'UMP*. Paris: Les Presses de Sciences Po.
- Halikiopoulou, D., Mock, S., & Vasilopoulou, S. (2013). The civic zeitgeist: nationalism and liberal values in the European radical right. *Nations and nationalism*, 19(1), 107–127.
- Halikiopoulou, D., & Vasilopoulou, S. (2010). Towards a civic narrative: British national identity and the transformation of the British National Party. *Political Quarterly*, 81(4), 583–592.
- Han, K. J. (2015). The impact of radical right-wing parties on the positions of mainstream parties regarding multiculturalism. *West European Politics*, 38(3), 557–576.
- Harrison, S., & Bruter, M. (2011). *Mapping extreme right ideology an empirical geography of the European extreme right*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Heinisch, R. (2003). Success in opposition – failure in government: explaining the performance of right-wing populist parties in public office. *West European Politics*, 26(3), 91–130. doi: 10.1080/01402380312331280608
- Horwitz, R. B. (2013). *America's right: anti-establishment conservatism from Goldwater to the Tea Party*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Inglehart, R. (1997). *Modernization and postmodernization: cultural, economic, and political change in 43 societies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Institut National de l'Audiovisuel. (1991). Meeting + Cresson + Le Pen, A2 Le Journal de 20h. Retrieved from www.ina.fr/video/CAB91027647
- Kitschelt, H., & McGann, A. J. (1995). *The radical right in Western Europe: a comparative analysis*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Kriesi, H., Grande, E., Lachat, R., Dolezal, M., Bornschier, S., & Frey, T. (2006). Globalization and the transformation of the national space, 6 European countries compared. *Politiques Publiques, Action Politique, Territoires*, 1.
- Kriesi, H., Grande, E., Lachat, R., Dolezal, M., Bornschier, S., & Frey, T. (Eds.). (2008). *West European politics in the age of globalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Kriesi, H., & Pappas, T. S. (Eds.). (2015). *European populism in the shadow of the Great Recession / edited by Hanspeter Kriesi and Takis S. Pappas*. Colchester: ECPR Press.
- Lefebvre, R., & Sawicki, F. (2006). *La société des socialistes: Le PS aujourd'hui*. Broissieux: Editions du Croquant.
- Lubbers, M., Gijsberts, M., & Scheepers, P. (2002). Extreme right-wing voting in Western Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*, 41(3), 345–378.
- Meguid, B. M. (2005). Competition between unequals: the role of mainstream party strategy in niche party success. *American Political Science Review*, 99(3), 347–359.
- Meguid, B. M. (2008). *Party competition between unequals: strategies and electoral fortunes in Western Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Minkenberg, M. (2001). The radical right in public office: agenda-setting and policy effects. *West European Politics*, 24(4), 1–21.
- Minkenberg, M. (2013). From pariah to policy-maker? The radical right in Europe, West and East: between margin and mainstream. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 5–24. doi: 10.1080/14782804.2013.766473
- Mounk, Y. (2014). Pitchfork politics. *Foreign Affairs*, September/October.
- Mudde, C. (1996). The war of words: defining the extreme right party family. *West European Politics*, 19, 225–248.
- Mudde, C. (2004). The populist zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), 541–563.
- Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mudde, C. (2013). Thirty years of populist radical right parties in Western Europe: so what? The 2012 Stein Rokkan Lecture. *European Journal of Political Research*, 52(1), 1–19.
- Mudde, C. (2014). Fighting the system? Populist radical right parties and party system change. *Party Politics*, 20(2), 217–226.
- Mudde, C. (2016a). The populist radical right: a pathological normalcy. In C. Mudde (Ed.), *On extremism and democracy in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Mudde, C. (2016b). The study of populist radical right parties: towards a fourth wave. *C-Rex Working Paper Series, Center for Research on Extremism, University of Oslo* (1).
- Mudde, C. (Ed.). (2016c). *On extremism and democracy in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Mudde, C., & Rovira Kaltwasser, C. B. (Eds.). (2013). *Populism in Europe and the Americas: threat or corrective for democracy?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Norris, P. (2005). *Radical right: voters and parties in the electoral market*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Odmalm, P., & Hepburn, E. (Eds.). (2017). *The European mainstream and the populist radical right*. London: Routledge.
- Panizza, F. (2005). *Populism and the mirror of democracy*. London: Verso.
- Pellikaan, H., de Lange, S. L., & van der Meer, T. W. G. (2016). The centre does not hold: coalition politics and party system change in the Netherlands, 2002–12. *Government and Opposition*, 1–25. doi: 10.1017/gov.2016.20
- Rooduijn, M., de Lange, S. L., & van der Brug, W. (2014). A populist zeitgeist? Programmatic contagion by populist parties in Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 20(4), 563–575.
- Schain, M. (2006). The extreme-right and immigration policy-making: measuring direct and indirect effects. *West European Politics*, 29(2), 270–298.
- Schumacher, G., & van Kersbergen, K. (2014). Do mainstream parties adapt to the welfare chauvinism of populist parties? *Party Politics*, 22(3), 300–312. doi: 10.1177/1354068814549345
- Sinclair, B. (2006). *Party wars: polarization and the politics of national policy making*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.

- Smith, J. (2008). Towards consensus? Centre-right parties and immigration policy in the UK and Ireland. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 415–431. doi: 10.1080/13501760701847689
- Stanley, B. (2008). The thin ideology of populism. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 13(1), 95–110.
- Swank, D., & Betz, H.-G. (2003). Globalization, the welfare state and right-wing populism in Western Europe. *Socio-Economic Review*, 1(2), 215–245.
- The Guardian*. (2017). Editorial: *The Guardian* view on the Austrian elections: an old threat in a new guise. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/oct/16/the-guardian-view-on-the-austrian-elections-an-old-threat-in-a-new-guise
- van Spanje, J. (2010). Contagious parties: anti-immigration parties and their impact on other parties' immigration stances in contemporary Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 16(5), 563–586.
- van Spanje, J., & Van Der Brug, W. (2007). The party as pariah: the exclusion of anti-immigration parties and its effect on their ideological positions. *West European Politics*, 30(5), 1022–1040. doi: 10.1080/01402380701617431
- Von Beyme, K. (1988). Right-wing extremism in post-war Europe, West European politics. 11, 2, 1–18.
- Wagner, M., & Meyer, T. M. (2017). The radical right as niche parties? The ideological landscape of party systems in Western Europe, 1980–2014. *Political Studies*, 65(1_suppl), 84–107. doi: 10.1177/0032321716639065
- Williams, M. H. (2006). *The impact of radical right-wing parties in West European democracies*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wolinetz, S., & Zaslove, A. (Eds.). (2017). *Absorbing the blow: populist parties and their impact on parties and party system*. Colchester: ECPR Press.

Introduction

- Abou-Chadi, T. (2014). Niche party success and mainstream party policy shifts – how green and radical right parties differ in their impact. *British Journal of Political Science*, 46(2), 417–436. doi: 10.1017/S0007123414000155
- Akkerman, T. (2012). Comparing radical right parties in government: immigration and integration policies in nine countries (1996–2010). *West European Politics*, 35(3), 511–529.
- Akkerman, T. , de Lange, S. L. , & Rooduijn, M. (Eds.). (2016). *Radical right-wing populist parties in Western Europe: into the mainstream?* London: Routledge.
- Akkerman, T. , & Rooduijn, M. (2015). Pariahs or partners? Inclusion and exclusion of radical right parties and the effects on their policy positions. *Political Studies*, 63(5), 1140–1157.
- Albertazzi, D. , & Mueller, S. (2013). Populism and liberal democracy: populists in government in Austria, Italy, Poland and Switzerland. *Government and Opposition*, 48(3), 343–371. doi: 10.1017/gov.2013.12
- Arzheimer, K. (2009). Contextual factors and the extreme right vote in Western Europe, 1980–2002. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(2), 259–275.
- Arzheimer, K. (2015). The AfD: finally a successful right-wing populist Eurosceptic party for Germany? *West European Politics*, 38(3), 535–556. doi: 10.1080/01402382.2015.1004230
- Arzheimer, K. , & Carter, E. (2006). Political opportunity structure and right-wing extremist party success. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(3), 419–443.
- Ashcroft, M. (2016). How the United Kingdom voted on Thursday. . . and why. Lord Ashcroft Polls. Retrieved from <http://lordashcroftpolls.com/2016/06/how-the-united-kingdom-voted-and-why/>
- Bale, T. (2008a). Politics matters: a conclusion. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 453–464. doi: 10.1080/13501760701847721
- Bale, T. (2008b). Turning round the telescope: centre-right parties and immigration and integration policy in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 315–330.
- Bale, T. (2012). Supplying the insatiable demand: Europe's populist radical right. *Government and Opposition*, 47(2), 256–274.
- Bale, T. , Green-Pedersen, C. , Krouwel, A. , Luther, K. R. , & Sitter, N. (2010). If you can't beat them, join them? Explaining social democratic responses to the challenge from the populist radical right in Western Europe. *Political Studies*, 58(3), 410–426.
- Bale, T. , & Partos, R. (2014). Why mainstream parties change policy on migration: a UK case study – the Conservative Party, immigration and asylum, 1960–2010. *Comparative European Politics*, 12, 603–619.
- Baumer, D. C. (2010). *Parties, polarization, and democracy in the United States*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers.
- Berezin, M. (2009). *Illiberal politics in neoliberal times: culture, security and populism in the new Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Berezin, M. (2013). The normalization of the right in post-security Europe. In A. Schäfer & W. Streeck (Eds.), *Politics in the age of austerity*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Betz, H.-G. (1994). *Radical right-wing populism in Western Europe*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Boswell, C. , & Hough, D. (2008). Politicizing migration: opportunity or liability for the centre-right in Germany? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 331–348. doi: 10.1080/13501760701847382
- Canovan, M. (1999). Trust the people! Populism and the two faces of democracy. *Political Studies*, 47(1), 2–16.
- Copsey, N. (2007). Changing course or changing clothes? Reflections on the ideological evolution of the British National Party '1999–2006'. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 41(1), 61–82.
- de Lange, S. L. (2012). New alliances: why mainstream parties govern with radical right-wing populist parties. *Political Studies*, 60, 899–918.
- Downs, W. M. (2001). Pariahs in their midst: Belgian and Norwegian parties react to extremist threats. *West European Politics*, 24(3), 23–42. doi: 10.1080/01402380108425451
- Downs, W. M. (2002). How effective is the cordon sanitaire? *Journal of Conflict and Violence Research*, 4(1), 33–51.
- Duncan, F. (2010). Immigration and integration policy and the Austrian radical right in office: the FPÖ/BZÖ, 2000–2006. *Contemporary Politics*, 16(4), 337–354.

- Duncan, F. , & Van Hecke, S. (2008). Immigration and the transnational European centreright: A common programmatic response?. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 432–452.
- Ford, R. , & Goodwin, M. (2014). *Revolt of the right: explaining support for the radical right in Britain*. London: Routledge.
- Godin, E. (2013). The porosity between the mainstream right and extreme right in France. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 53–67.
- Golder, M. (2003). Explaining variation in the success of extreme right parties in Western Europe. *Comparative Political Studies*, 36(4), 432–466.
- Gray, R. (2017). Trump defends white-nationalist protesters: 'some very fine people on both sides'. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2017/08/trump-defends-white-nationalist-protesters-some-very-fine-people-on-both-sides/537012/
- Gruber, O. , & Bale, T. (2014). And it's good night Vienna. How (not) to deal with the populist radical right: the Conservatives, UKIP and some lessons from the heartland. *British Politics*, 9(3), 237–254.
- Haegel, F. (2012). *Les droites en fusion, Transformations de l'UMP*. Paris: Les Presses de Sciences Po.
- Halikopoulos, D. , Mock, S. , & Vasilopoulos, S. (2013). The civic zeitgeist: nationalism and liberal values in the European radical right. *Nations and nationalism*, 19(1), 107–127.
- Halikopoulos, D. , & Vasilopoulos, S. (2010). Towards a civic narrative: British national identity and the transformation of the British National Party. *Political Quarterly*, 81(4), 583–592.
- Han, K. J. (2015). The impact of radical right-wing parties on the positions of mainstream parties regarding multiculturalism. *West European Politics*, 38(3), 557–576.
- Harrison, S. , & Bruter, M. (2011). Mapping extreme right ideology an empirical geography of the European extreme right. *Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan*.
- Heinisch, R. (2003). Success in opposition – failure in government: explaining the performance of right-wing populist parties in public office. *West European Politics*, 26(3), 91–130. doi: 10.1080/01402380312331280608
- Horwitz, R. B. (2013). *America's right: anti-establishment conservatism from Goldwater to the Tea Party*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Inglehart, R. (1997). *Modernization and postmodernization: cultural, economic, and political change in 43 societies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Institut National de l'Audiovisuel . (1991). Meeting + Cresson + Le Pen, A2 Le Journal de 20h. Retrieved from www.ina.fr/video/CAB91027647
- Kitschelt, H. , & McGann, A. J. (1995). *The radical right in Western Europe: a comparative analysis*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Kriesi, H. , Grande, E. , Lachat, R. , Dolezal, M. , Bornschier, S. , & Frey, T. (2006). Globalization and the transformation of the national space, 6 European countries compared. *Politiques Publiques, Action Politique, Territoires*, 1.
- Kriesi, H. , Grande, E. , Lachat, R. , Dolezal, M. , Bornschier, S. , & Frey, T. (Eds.). (2008). *West European politics in the age of globalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kriesi, H. , & Pappas, T. S. (Eds.). (2015). *European populism in the shadow of the Great Recession / edited by Hanspeter Kriesi and Takis S. Pappas* . Colchester: ECPR Press.
- Lefebvre, R. , & Sawicki, F. (2006). *La société des socialistes: Le PS aujourd'hui*. Broissieux: Editions du Croquant.
- Lubbers, M. , Gijsberts, M. , & Scheepers, P. (2002). Extreme right-wing voting in Western Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*, 41(3), 345–378.
- Meguid, B. M. (2005). Competition between unequals: the role of mainstream party strategy in niche party success. *American Political Science Review*, 99(3), 347–359.
- Meguid, B. M. (2008). *Party competition between unequals: strategies and electoral fortunes in Western Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Minkenberg, M. (2001). The radical right in public office: agenda-setting and policy effects. *West European Politics*, 24(4), 1–21.
- Minkenberg, M. (2013). From pariah to policy-maker? The radical right in Europe, West and East: between margin and mainstream. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 5–24. doi: 10.1080/14782804.2013.766473
- Mounk, Y. (2014). Pitchfork politics. *Foreign Affairs*, September/October.
- Mudde, C. (1996). The war of words: defining the extreme right party family. *West European Politics*, 19, 225–248.

- Mudde, C. (2004). The populist zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), 541–563.
- Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mudde, C. (2013). Thirty years of populist radical right parties in Western Europe: so what? The 2012 Stein Rokkan Lecture. *European Journal of Political Research*, 52(1), 1–19.
- Mudde, C. (2014). Fighting the system? Populist radical right parties and party system change. *Party Politics*, 20(2), 217–226.
- Mudde, C. (2016a). The populist radical right: a pathological normalcy. In C. Mudde (Ed.), *On extremism and democracy in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Mudde, C. (2016b). The study of populist radical right parties: towards a fourth wave. C-Rex Working Paper Series, Center for Research on Extremism, University of Oslo (1).
- Mudde, C. (Ed.). (2016c). *On extremism and democracy in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Mudde, C. , & Rovira Kaltwasser, C. B. (Eds.). (2013). *Populism in Europe and the Americas: threat or corrective for democracy?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Norris, P. (2005). *Radical right: voters and parties in the electoral market*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Odmalm, P. , & Hepburn, E. (Eds.). (2017). *The European mainstream and the populist radical right*. London: Routledge.
- Panizza, F. (2005). *Populism and the mirror of democracy*. London: Verso.
- Pellikaan, H. , de Lange, S. L. , & van der Meer, T. W. G. (2016). The centre does not hold: coalition politics and party system change in the Netherlands, 2002–12. *Government and Opposition*, 1–25. doi: 10.1017/gov.2016.20
- Rooduijn, M. , de Lange, S. L. , & van der Brug, W. (2014). A populist zeitgeist? Programmatic contagion by populist parties in Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 20(4), 563–575.
- Schain, M. (2006). The extreme-right and immigration policy-making: measuring direct and indirect effects. *West European Politics*, 29(2), 270–298.
- Schumacher, G. , & van Kersbergen, K. (2014). Do mainstream parties adapt to the welfare chauvinism of populist parties? *Party Politics*, 22(3), 300–312. doi: 10.1177/1354068814549345
- Sinclair, B. (2006). *Party wars: polarization and the politics of national policy making*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Smith, J. (2008). Towards consensus? Centre-right parties and immigration policy in the UK and Ireland. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 415–431. doi: 10.1080/13501760701847689
- Stanley, B. (2008). The thin ideology of populism. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 13(1), 95–110.
- Swank, D. , & Betz, H.-G. (2003). Globalization, the welfare state and right-wing populism in Western Europe. *Socio-Economic Review*, 1(2), 215–245.
- The Guardian. (2017). Editorial: *The Guardian* view on the Austrian elections: an old threat in a new guise. The Guardian. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/oct/16/the-guardian-view-on-the-austrian-elections-an-old-threat-in-a-new-guise
- van Spanje, J. (2010). Contagious parties: anti-immigration parties and their impact on other parties' immigration stances in contemporary Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 16(5), 563–586.
- van Spanje, J. , & Van Der Brug, W. (2007). The party as pariah: the exclusion of anti-immigration parties and its effect on their ideological positions. *West European Politics*, 30(5), 1022–1040. doi: 10.1080/01402380701617431
- Von Beyme, K. (1988). Right-wing extremism in post-war Europe, *West European politics*. 11, 2, 1–18.
- Wagner, M. , & Meyer, T. M. (2017). The radical right as niche parties? The ideological landscape of party systems in Western Europe, 1980–2014. *Political Studies*, 65(1_suppl), 84–107. doi: 10.1177/0032321716639065
- Williams, M. H. (2006). *The impact of radical right-wing parties in West European democracies*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wolinetz, S. , & Zaslove, A. (Eds.). (2017). *Absorbing the blow: populist parties and their impact on parties and party system*. Colchester: ECPR Press.

The mainstreaming of far-right extremism online and how to counter it

Davey, J. and Ebner, J. (2017). The fringe insurgency: connectivity, convergence and mainstreaming of the extreme-right. London, England: Institute for Strategic Dialogue.

Facebook . (n.d.). Community standards. Retrieved from: <<https://en-gb.facebook.com/communitystandards>>.

Gable, G. (29 October 2016). Britain First extremist filmed joining hate-filled vigilante group hunting down asylum seekers in Bulgaria. Searchlight Magazine. Retrieved from: <www.searchlightmagazine.com/2016/10/britain-first-extremist-filmed-joining-hate-filled-vigilante-group-hunting-down-asylum-seekers-in-bulgaria/>.

Hagen, S. (2017). Mapping the alt-right: the US alternative right across the Atlantic. In Alt-Right Open Intelligence Initiative. Amsterdam, Netherlands: University of Amsterdam. Retrieved from <<https://wiki.digitalmethods.net/Dmi/AltRightOpenIntelligenceInitiative>>.

Hermansson, P. (2017). My year inside the international alt-right. London, England: Hope Not Hate. Retrieved from <<https://alternativeright.hopenothate.com/my-year-inside-the-international-alt-right>>.

McIntire, M. (17 December 2016). How a Putin fan overseas pushed pro-Trump propaganda to Americans. New York Times. Retrieved from:

<www.nytimes.com/2016/12/17/world/europe/russia-propaganda-elections.html?mcubz=0>

Marwick, A. and Lewis, R. (May 2017). Media manipulation and disinformation online. Data and Society Research Institute. Retrieved from:

<https://datasociety.net/pubs/oh/DataAndSociety_MediaManipulationAndDisinformationOnline.pdf>.

Nagle, A. (2017). Kill all normies: online culture wars from 4chan to Tumblr to Trump and the alt-right. London, England: Zero Books.

Robb, A. (16 November 2017). Anatomy of a fake news scandal. Rolling Stone.

Schreckinger, B. (March/April 2017). World War meme: How a group of anonymous keyboard commandos conquered the internet for Donald Trump – and plans to deliver Europe to the far-right. Politico Magazine. Retrieved from: <www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/03/memes-4chan-trump-supporters-trolls-internet-214856>.

Shukla, S. and Lyons, T. (28 August 2017). Blocking ads of pages that repeatedly share false news. Facebook Newsroom. Retrieved from: <<https://newsroom.fb.com/news/2017/08/blocking-ads-from-pages-that-repeatedly-share-false-news/>>.

Simpson, B. (13 December 2013). Accused 'fake-news' pizza gunman planned raid for days: affidavit. Reuters. Retrieved from: <www.reuters.com/article/us-washingtondc-gunman-idUSKBN14213S>.

Smith, M. and Colliver, C. (2016) The impact of Brexit on far-right groups in the UK: research briefing. London, England: Institute for Strategic Dialogue. Retrieved from:

<www.isdglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Impact-of-Brexit.pdf>.

Squirrell, T. (3 August 2017). The evolution of 'cuck' shows that different far-right groups are learning the same language. New Statesman. Retrieved from:

<www.newstatesman.com/science-tech/2017/08/evolution-cuck-shows-different-far-right-groups-are-learning-same-language>.

Stack, L. (15 August 2017). Alt-right, alt-left, antifa: a glossary of extremist language. New York Times. Retrieved from: <<https://nyti.ms/2uZRRz5>>.

Tait, A. (8 December 2016). Pizzagate: how a 4chan conspiracy went mainstream. New Statesman. Retrieved from: <www.newstatesman.com/science-tech/internet/2016/12/pizzagate-how-4chan-conspiracy-went-mainstream>.

Twitter . (n.d.) Terms of service. Retrieved from: <<https://twitter.com/en/tos>>.

Volz, D. (7 May 2017). US far-right activists, WikiLeaks and bots help amplify Macron leaks: researchers. Reuters. Retrieved from: <<http://uk.reuters.com/article/us-france-election-cyber-idUKKBN1820QO>>.

Weedon, J. , Nuland, W. and Stamos, A. (April 2017). Information operations and Facebook Version 1.0. Facebook Newsroom. Retrieved from:

<<https://fbnewsroomus.files.wordpress.com/2017/04/facebook-and-information-operations->

v1.pdf>.

Wong, J.C. (28 August 2017). The far right is losing its ability to speak freely online. Should the left defend it?. The Guardian. Retrieved from:

<www.theguardian.com/technology/2017/aug/28/daily-stormer-alt-right-cloudflare-breitbart>.

YouTube . (n.d.). Policy and safety. Retrieved from: <www.youtube.com/intl/en-GB/yt/about/policies/#community-guidelines>.

Yuhas, A. (13 August 2015). 'Cuckservative': the internet's latest Republican insult hits where it hurts. The Guardian. Retrieved from: <www.theguardian.com/us-news/2015/aug/13/cuckservative-republicans-conservatives-jeb-bush>.

Populisms in Europe

Bakker, R. , Edwards, E. , Hooghe, L. , Jolly, S. , Koedam, J. , Kostelka, F. , Marks, G. , Polk, J. , Rovny, J. , Schumacher, G. , Steenbergen, M. , Vachudova, M. , & Zilovic, M. (2015).

1999–2014 Chapel Hill expert survey trend file. Version 1.13. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

Betz, H.-G. (1993). The two faces of radical right-wing populism in Western Europe. *Review of Politics*, 55(4), 663–685.

Brubaker, R. (2017). Between nationalism and civilizationism: the European populist moment in comparative perspective. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 40(8), 1191–1226.

Bruter, M. , & Harrison, S. (2011). Mapping extreme right ideology. An empirical geography of the European extreme right. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Canovan, M. (1981). Populism. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Celebi, M. E. , Kingravi, H. A. , & Vela, P. A. (2013). A comparative study of efficient initialization methods for the k-means clustering algorithm. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(1), 200–210.

Dalton, R. J. , & McAllister, I. (2015). Random walk or planned excursion? Continuity and change in the left–right positions of political parties. *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(6), 759–787.

De Lange, S. L. (2007). A new winning formula? The programmatic appeal of the radical right. *Party Politics*, 13(4), 411–435.

De Raadt, J. , Hollanders, D. , & Krouwel, A. (2004). Varieties of populism: an analysis of the programmatic character of six European parties. Working Papers Political Science, No. 2004/04, Vrije University, Amsterdam.

Enyedi, Z. (2015). Plebeians, citoyens and aristocrats or where is the bottom of bottom-up? The case of Hungary. In H. Kriesi & T. Pappas (Eds), *Populism in the shadow of the great recession* (pp. 229–244). Colchester: ECPR Press.

Enyedi, Z. (2016). Paternalist populism and illiberal elitism in Central Europe. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 21(1), 9–25.

Everitt, B. S. , Landau, S. , Leese, M. , & Stahl, D. (2011). Cluster analysis, 5th edition. Wiley.

Fraley, C. , & Raftery, A. E. (2002). Model-based clustering, discriminant analysis and density estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 97, 611–631.

Fraley, C. , Raftery, A. E. , Murphy, T. B. , & Scrucca, L. (2012). mclust version 4 for R: normal mixture modeling for model-based clustering, classification, and density estimation. Technical Report, No. 597. Department of Statistics, University of Washington.

Fuchs, D. , & Klingemann, H.-D. (1989). The left–right schema. In M. K. Jennings & J. W. van Deth (Eds), *Continuities in political action. A longitudinal study of political orientations in three Western democracies* (pp. 203–234). Berlin: de Gruyter.

Halikiopoulou, D. , Mock, S. , & Vasilopoulou, S. (2013). The civic zeitgeist: nationalism and liberal values in the European radical right. *Nations and nationalism*, 19(1), 107–127.

Haughton, T. (2001). HZDS: the ideology, organisation and support base of Slovakia's most successful party. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 53(5), 745–769.

Hennig, C. , & Meila, M. (2016). Cluster analysis: an overview. In C. Hennig , M. Meila , F. Murtagh & R. Rocci (Eds). *Handbook of cluster analysis* (pp. 1–20). London and New York: CRC Press.

- Inglehart, R. , & Klingemann, H.-D. (1976). Party identification, ideological preference and the left–right dimension among Western mass publics. In I. Budge , I. Crewe , & D. Farlie (Eds), *Party identification and beyond. Representations of voting and party competition* (pp. 243–273). London: Wiley & Sons.
- Jou, W. (2010). Continuities and changes in left–right orientations in new democracies: the cases of Croatia and Slovenia. *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 43(1), 97–113.
- Jupskås, A. R. 2013. In the name of the people! Contemporary populism(s) in Scandinavia. In S. Gherghina , S. Mişcoiu and S. Soare (Eds), *Contemporary populism: a controversial concept and its diverse forms* (pp. 258–293). Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Kitschelt, H. (2004). *Diversification and reconfiguration of party systems in postindustrial democracies*. Bonn: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung.
- Lowe, W. , Benoit, K. , Mikhaylov, S. , & Laver, M. (2011). Scaling policy preferences from coded political texts. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 36(1), 123–155.
- MacQueen, J. (1967). Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations. *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 1(14), 281–297.
- Margulies, B. (2016). *Populist: a field guide*. Political Studies Association. Retrieved from www.psa.ac.uk/insight-plus/blog/populism-field-guide
- Marzouki, N. , McDonnell, D. , & Roy, O. (Eds). (2016). *Saving the people: how populists hijack religion*. London: Hurst.
- Mölder, M. (2016). The validity of the RILE left–right index as a measure of party policy. *Party Politics*, 22(1), 37–48.
- Mudde, C. (2004). The populist zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), 542–563.
- Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mudde, C. (2014). Fighting the system? Populist radical right parties and party system change. *Party Politics*, 20(2), 217–226.
- Pappas, T. S. , & Kriesi, H. (2015). Populism and crisis: a fuzzy relationship. In T. S. Pappas & H. Kriesi (Eds), *European populism in the shadow of the great recession* (pp. 303–325). Colchester: ECPR Press.
- Polakow-Suransky, S. (2016). The ruthlessly effective rebranding of Europe's new far right. *Guardian*. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/world/2016/nov/01/the-ruthlessly-effective-rebranding-of-europes-new-far-right
- Pop-Eleches, G. (2010). Throwing out the bums: protest voting and unorthodox parties after communism. *World Politics*, 62(2), 221–260.
- R Core Team . (2017). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rooduijn, M. , De Lange, S. L. , & Van Der Brug, W. (2014). A populist zeitgeist? Programmatic contagion by populist parties in Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 20(4), 563–575.
- Schumacher, G. , & Van Kersbergen, K. (2014). Do mainstream parties adapt to the welfare chauvinism of populist parties. *Party Politics*, 22(3), 300–312.
- Spirova, M. (2008). Bulgaria. *European Journal of Political Research*, 47(7–8), 929–934.
- Taggart, P. (2000). *Populism*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Taguieff, P.-A. (2016). The revolt against the elites, or the new populist wave: an interview. Retrieved from www.telospress.com/the-revolt-against-the-elites-or-the-new-populist-wave-an-interview/
- Učeň, P. (2007). Parties, populism, and anti-establishment politics in East Central Europe. *SAIS Review*, 27(1), 49–62.
- Učeň, P. , Gyárfášová, O. , & Krivý, V. (2005). Centrist populism in Slovakia from the perspective of voters and supporters. *Slovak Foreign Policy Affairs*, (1), 28–47.
- Van Hauwaert, S. M. , & Van Kessel, S. (2017). Beyond protest and discontent: A cross-national analysis of the effect of populist attitudes and issue positions on populist party support. *European Journal of Political Research*. Online Version published before inclusion in an issue.
- Van Kessel, S. (2015). *Populist parties in Europe: agents of discontent?* New York: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Voerman, G. & Lucardie, A. (2007). De social-democratisering van de SP. In R. Cuperus , & F. Becker (Eds), *De verloren slag: de PvdA en de verloren verkiezingen van 2006* (pp. 139–164). Amsterdam: Mets & Schilt and Wiarda Beckmanstichting

Volkens, A. , Lehmann, P. , Matthieß, T. , Merz, N. , & Regel, S. (2016a). The manifesto data collection. Manifesto Project (MRG / CMP / MARPOR). Version 2016b. Berlin: Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB).

Volkens, A. , Lehmann, P. , Matthieß, T. , Merz, N. , Regel, S. , & Werner, A. (2016b). The manifesto project dataset – codebook. Manifesto Project (MRG / CMP / MARPOR). Version 2016b. Berlin: Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB).

Populist nationalism and ontological security

Albertazzi, Daniele . 2008. Switzerland: Yet Another Populist Paradise. Twenty-First Century Populism, edited by Daniele Albertazzi , and Duncan McDonnell , Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Bellamy, Richard and Joseph Lacey . Forthcoming. Balancing the Rights and Duties of European and National Citizens: A Democratic Approach. *Journal of European Public Policy*.

Bernhard, Laurent , Hanspeter Kriesi and Edward Weber . 2015. The Populist Discourse of the Swiss People's Party. European Populism in the Shadow of the Great Recession, edited by Hanspeter Kriesi and Takis S. Pappas . Colchester: ECPR Press.

Bouveroux, Jos . 1998. Nationalism in Present-day Flanders. Nationalism in Belgium: Shifting Identities 1780–1995, edited by Kas Deprez and Louis Vos . NY: St Martin's Press.

Ceuppens, Bambi . 2011. From 'The Europe of the Regions' to 'The European Champion League': The Electoral Appeal of Populist Autochthony Discourses in Flanders. *Social Anthropology*, 19 (2): 159–174.

De Cleen, Benjamin . 2017. Populism and Nationalism. The Oxford Handbook of Populism, edited by Cristóbal Rovira Kaltwasser , Paul Taggart , Paulina Ochoa Espejo , and Pierre Ostiguy . Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Della Salla, Vincent . 2017. Homeland Security: Territorial Myths and Ontological Security in the European Union. *Journal of European Integration*, 39 (5): 545–558.

Dennison, James and Matthew Goodwin . 2015. Immigration, Issue Ownership and the Rise of UKIP. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 68 (1), Issue supplement 1: 168–187.

De Winter, Lieven . 2006. In Memorium, the Volksunie 1954–2001: Death by Overdose of Success. *Autonomist Parties in Europe: Identity, Politics and the Revival of the Territorial Cleavage*, edited by Lieven De Winter , Margerita Gomez-Reino and Peter Lynch . Barcelona: Institut de Ciències Polítiques i Socials.

Eriksen, Erik O. and John Erik Fossum , eds. 2015. The European Union's Non-Members. Independence under Hegemony. London: Routledge.

Giddens, A. 1990. The Consequences of Modernity. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Halikiopoulou, Daphne and Sofia Vasilopoulou . 2018. Breaching the Social Contract: Crises of Democratic Representation and Patterns of Extreme Right Party Support. *Government and Opposition*, 53 (1): 26–50.

Jamin, Jérôme . 2011. The Producerist Narrative in Right-wing Flanders. Right-wing Flanders, Left-wing Wallonia? Is This So? If So, Why? And Is It a Problem?, edited by Bruno De Wever . Re-Bel e-book 12. www.rethinkingbelgium.eu/rebel-initiative-files/ebooks/ebook-12/Re-Bel-e-book-12.pdf, accessed on 15 December 2014 .

Kriesi, Hanspeter . 2015. Populism: Concepts and Conditions for its Rise in Europe. *Comunicazione Politica*, 16 (2): 175–194.

Lacey, Joseph . 2017. Centripetal Democracy: Democratic Legitimacy and Political Identity in Belgium, Switzerland and the European Union. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Leruth, Benjamin. 2014. The New Flemish Alliance's Decision to Join the ECR Group Says More about Belgian Politics than It Does about Their Attitude Toward the EU, <http://bit.ly/Uxkqlt>, accessed on 1 February 2018 .

Mazzoleni, Oscar . 2015. Between Opposition and Government: The Swiss People's Party. *Rechtspopulismus und Rechtsextremismus in Europa*, edited by Frank Decker , Bernd Henningsen and Kjetil Jakobsen . Baden: Nomos.

Miller, David . 1995. On Nationality. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Miller, David . 2016. Strangers in Our Midst: The Political Philosophy of Migration. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Moufahim, Mona and Michael Humphreys . 2015. *Marketing an Extremist Ideology: the Vlaams Belang's Nationalist Discourse*. The Routledge Companion to Ethics, Politics and Organizations. London: Routledge.

Mudde, Cas . 2017. *Populism: An Ideational Approach*. The Oxford Handbook of Populism, edited by Cristóbal Rovira Kaltwasser , Paul Taggart , Paulina Ochoa Espejo , and Pierre Ostiguy . Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ostiguy, Pierre . 2017. *Populism: A Socio-Cultural Approach*. The Oxford Handbook of Populism, edited by Cristóbal Rovira Kaltwasser , Paul Taggart , Paulina Ochoa Espejo , and Pierre Ostiguy . Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Pauwels, Teun . 2011. Explaining the Strange Decline of the Populist Radical Right Vlaams Belang in Belgium: The Impact of Permanent Opposition. *Acta Politica*, 46 (1): 60–82.

Pauwels, Teun and Matthijs Rooduijn . 2015. *Populism in Belgium in Times of Crisis: Intensification of Discourse, Decline in Electoral Support*. *European Populism in the Shadow of the Great Recession*, edited by Hanspeter Kriesi and Takis S. Pappas . Colchester: ECPR Press.

Pauwels, Teun and Emile Van Haute . 2017. *Caught between Mainstreaming and Radicalisation: Tensions Inside the Populist Vlaams Belang in Belgium*, <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/europpblog/2017/01/11/tensions-inside-vlaams-belang-belgium/>, accessed on 01/02/2018 .

Pettit, Phillip . 2012. *On the People's Terms: A Republican Theory and Model of Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Phipps, C. 2016. 'Nigel Farage's LBC Interview—the Key Moments', www.theguardian.com/politics/2014/may/16/nigel-farage-lbc-interview-key-moments, accessed on 30 January 2017 .

Traber, Denise . 2015. Disenchanted Swiss Parliament? Electoral Strategies and Coalition Formation. *Swiss Political Science Review* 21(4): 702–723.

Varone, Frédéric , Isabelle Engeli , Pascal Sciarini and Roy Gava . 2014. *Agenda Setting and Direct Democracy: The Rise of the Swiss People's Party*. *Agenda Setting, Policies, and Political Systems: A Comparative Approach*, edited by Christoffer Green-Pedersen and Stefaan Walgrave . Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Walzer, M. 1983. *Spheres of Justice: A Defense of Pluralism and Equality*, New York: Basic Books.

Weyland, Kurt . 2017. *Populism: A Political-Strategic Approach*. The Oxford Handbook of Populism, edited by Cristóbal Rovira Kaltwasser , Paul Taggart , Paulina Ochoa Espejo , and Pierre Ostiguy . Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Left, right, but no in-between

Barnes, D. A. (1984). *Farmers in Rebellion: The Rise and Fall of the Southern Farmer's Alliance and People's Party in Texas*. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.

Binder, S. A. (2003). *Stalemate: Causes and Consequences of Legislative Gridlock*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Bruni, F. (2016, November 26). The Pretend Populism of Donald Trump. Retrieved 26 October 2017, from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2016/11/26/opinion/sunday/the-pretend-populism-of-donald-trump.html

Burghart, D. (2011, March 18). Mapping the Tea Party Caucus in the 112th Congress. Retrieved 18 August 2017, from Institute for Research & Education on Human Rights: www.irehr.org/2011/03/18/2015-01-03-09-02-58-355/

Chaitin, Daniel. Trump pushes back against 'Muslim ban' accusations. The Washington Examiner, 28 January 2017. www.washingtonexaminer.com/trump-pushes-back-against-muslim-ban-accusations

Chan, S. (2017, February 17). 'Last Night in Sweden'? Trump's Remark Baffles a Nation. Retrieved 18 August 2017 from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2017/02/19/world/europe/last-night-in-sweden-trumps-remark-baffles-a-nation.html?_r=0

Congress and the Public. (2017, August 22). Retrieved 18 August 2017 from Gallup: www.gallup.com/poll/1600/congress-public.aspx

Cramer, Katherine. (2016). *The Politics of Resentment*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Davis, J. H. (2017, August 2). Those Calls to Trump? White House Admits They Didn't Happen. Retrieved 18 August 2017 from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2017/08/02/us/politics/those-calls-to-trump-white-house-admits-they-didnt-happen.html

Dealing with Post-truth Politics: 'Postfaktisch' is Germany's Word of the Year. (2016, December 9). Retrieved 23 August 2017, from Deutsche Welle: www.dw.com/en/dealing-with-post-truth-politics-postfaktisch-is-germanys-word-of-the-year/a-36702430

Donald Trump's file. (2017, August 22). Retrieved 18 August 2017 from Politifact: www.politifact.com/personalities/donald-trump/

Drezner, D. W. (2015, May 29). The End of the Median Voter Theorem in Presidential Politics? Retrieved 17 August 2017 from The Washington Post: www.washingtonpost.com/posteverything/wp/2015/05/29/the-end-of-the-median-voter-theorem-in-presidential-politics/?utm_term=.6a561661dc6f

Egidi, Massimo & Narduzzo, Alessandro (1997). The Emergence of Path-dependent Behaviors in Cooperative Contexts. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 15(6): 677–709.

Ehrenfreund, Max & Jeff Guo . A Massive New Study Debunks a Widespread Theory for Donald Trump's Success. The Washington Post, 12 August 2016.

Fraser, S. (2016, June 2016). Neoliberalism Gave us Trump: A Dying America is Raging against the Capitalist Machine. Retrieved October 2017, from Salon: www.salon.com/2016/06/03/neoliberalism_gave_us_trump_a_dying_white_america_is_raging_against_the_capitalist_machine_partner/

Gramlich, J. (2016, November 7). America's Political Division in 5 Charts. Retrieved 18 August 2017 from Pew Research Center: www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/11/07/americas-political-divisions-in-5-charts/

Grynbaum, M. M. (2017, June 14). Fox News Drops 'Fair and Balanced' Motto. Retrieved 18 August 2017 from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2017/06/14/business/media/fox-news-fair-and-balanced.html

Gusfield, Joseph R. (1963). *Symbolic Crusade: Status Politics and the American Temperance Movement*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.

Haberman, M. (2016, June 16). What Donald Trump Would Need to do to Win. Retrieved 29 May 2017, from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/06/16/us/elections/donald-trump.html

Heuvel, K. v. (2016, August 16). The Phony Populism of Donald Trump. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from The Washington Post: www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/the-phony-populism-of-donald-trump/2016/08/16/1e8649c8-631c-11e6-8b27-bb8ba39497a2_story.html?utm_term=.5a00d4c831f9

Higgins, A. , McIntire, M. , & Dance, G. J. (2016, November 25). Inside a Fake News Sausage Factory: 'This Is All About Income'. Retrieved 18 August 2017 from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2016/11/25/world/europe/fake-news-donald-trump-hillary-clinton-georgia.html

Hochschild, A. (2016). *Strangers in Their Own Land: Anger and Mourning on the American Right*. New York, NY: The New Press.

Hofstadter, Richard. (1964). The Paranoid Style in American Politics. *Harper's Magazine*, November 1964.

Huang, J. , Jacoby, S. , Lai, K. R. , & Strickland, M. (2016, November 8). Election 2016: Exit Polls. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/interactive/2016/11/08/us/politics/election-exit-polls.html

Illing, S. (2017, March 27). Why Trump's Populist Appeal Is about Culture, Not the Economy. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from Vox: www.vox.com/conversations/2017/3/27/15037232/trump-populist-appeal-culture-economy

Jiang, J. J. , & Klein, G. (2009). Expectation-Confirmation Theory: Capitalizing on Descriptive Power. In Y. K. Dwivedi , B. Lal , M. D. Williams , S. L. Schneberger , & M. Wade (eds.), *Handbook of Research on Contemporary Theoretical Models in Information Systems* (pp. 384–401). Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference.

Kazin, M. (2016, March 22). How Can Donald Trump and Bernie Sanders Both Be 'Populist'? Retrieved 21 October 2017, from The New York Times Magazine Online:

www.nytimes.com/2016/03/27/magazine/how-can-donald-trump-and-bernie-sanders-both-be-populist.html

Krugman, P. (2016, December 23). Populism, Real and Phony. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2016/12/23/opinion/populism-real-and-phony.html?_r=0

McAdam, D. , & Kloos, K. (2014). *Deeply Divided: Racial Politics and Social Movements in Postwar America*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Meckel, M. (2016, November 1). The Post-Factual Age. Retrieved 23 August 2017, from Handelsblatt Global: <https://global.handelsblatt.com/opinion/the-post-factual-age-632543>

Miller, W. R. (1987). *Oklahoma Populism: A History of the People's Party in the Oklahoma Territory*. Norman, OK: University of Oklahoma Press.

Murray, C. (2012). *Coming Apart: the State of White America, 1960–2010*. New York City: Randomhouse.

Nivola, P. S. , & Brady, D. W. (2008). *Red and Blue Nation*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.

Norris, P. , & Inglehart, R. (2017, June 8). Trump and the Populist Authoritarian Parties: The Silent Revolution in Reverse. *Perspectives on Politics* 4(3): 43–454.

Nugent, W. (2013). *The Tolerant Populist: Kansas Populism and Nativism*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Nunberg, G. (2004, August 15). People Power; The Curious Fate of Populism: How Politics Turned Into Pose. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2004/08/15/weekinreview/people-power-the-curious-fate-of-populism-how-politics-turned-into-pose.html

Page, Scott E. (2006). Path Dependence. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science* 1: 87–115.

Parker, C. S. , & Barreto, M. A. (2013). *Change They Can't Believe In: The Tea Party and Reactionary Politics in America*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Paulson, A. C. (2015). From Umbrella Parties to Polarized Parties. In W. Crotty (ed.), *Polarized Politics; The Impact of Divisiveness in the US Political System* (pp. 71–94). Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Pew . (2014). *Political Polarization in the American Public: How Increasing Ideological Uniformity and Partisan Antipathy Affect Politics, Comprise and Everyday Life*. U.S. Politics and Policy. 12 June 2014. Retrieved 17 August 2017 from www.people-press.org/2014/06/12/political-polarization-in-the-american-public/

Pew . (2016, June 22). Partisanship and Political Animosity in 2016: Highly Negative Views of Opposing Party – and its Members. Retrieved 17 August 2017 from Pew Research Center: <http://assets.pewresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/2016/06/06-22-16-Partisanship-and-animosity-release.pdf>

Potok, M. (2017, February 15). The Year in Hate and Extremism. Retrieved 23 August 2017, from Southern Poverty Law Center: www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/intelligence-report/2017/year-hate-and-extremism

Public Policy Polling (PPP) . Trump Supporters Think Obama is a Muslim Born in Another Country. (2015, September 1). Retrieved 17 August 2017 from www.publicpolicypolling.com/pdf/2015/PPP_Release_National_901115.pdf

Putnam, R. D. (2015). *Our Kids: The American Dream in Crisis*. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.

Rutenberg, J. (2016, November 6). Media's Next Challenge: Overcoming the Threat of Fake News. Retrieved 17 August 2017 from The New York Times: www.nytimes.com/2016/11/07/business/media/medias-next-challenge-overcoming-the-threat-of-fake-news.html

Saul, J. (29, January 2017.) Poll, Trump Backers Show Support for Immigration Ban. Retrieved on 28 December 2017 from Newsweek: www.newsweek.com/trump-voters-back-immigration-ban-549887

Savransky, R. (2016, March 13). Trump: 'All I know is what's on the Internet'. Retrieved 17 August 2017 from The Hill: <http://thehill.com/blogs/ballot-box/presidential-races/272824-trump-all-i-know-is-whats-on-the-internet>

Shrum, R. (2017, August 29). Donald Trump Is Not a Populist. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from POLITICO: www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/08/29/donald-trump-not-a-populist-215552

Silver, D. (2014, December 29). In Late Spur of Activity, Congress Avoids 'Least Productive' Title. Retrieved 17 August 2017 from Pew Research Center: www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/12/29/in-late-spurt-of-activity-congress-avoids-least-productive-title/

Skocpol, T. , & Williamson, V. (2012). *The Tea Party and the Remaking of Republican Conservatism*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Thompson, D. (2016, August 18). Donald Trump and 'Economic Anxiety'. Retrieved 21 October 2017 from The Atlantic: www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2016/08/donald-trump-and-economic-anxiety/496385/

Thurber, J. A. , & Yoshinaka, A. (2015). *American Gridlock*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Wang, A. B. (2016, November 16). 'Post-truth' Named 2016 Word of the Year by Oxford Dictionaries. Retrieved 23 August 2017, from The Washington Post: www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2016/11/16/post-truth-named-2016-word-of-the-year-by-oxford-dictionaries/?utm_term=.7e0ccbf4b01

Yglesias, M. (2017, April 21). Today's Executive Orders Are the Nail in the Coffin on Trump's Economic Populism. Retrieved 21 October 2017, from Vox: www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2017/4/21/15386602/trump-executive-order-finance

Zanona, M. (2017, January 20). Metro Ridership for Trump's Inauguration Far Lower than Obama's. Retrieved 23 August 2017, from The Hill: <http://thehill.com/policy/transportation/315277-dc-metro-ridership-for-trumps-inauguration-far-lower-than-obamas>

Paving the way for Trump

Arrillaga, P. (2012, April 14). Tea Party 2012: a look at the Conservative movement's last three years. The Huffington Post. Retrieved from: www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/04/14/tea-party-2012_n_1425957.html

Berlet, C. (2012). Reframing populist resentments in the Tea Party Movement. In L. Rosenthal and C. Trost (Eds.), *Steep: The precipitous rise of the Tea Party* (pp. 47–66). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Berlet, C. and Lyons, M. (2000). *Right-wing populism in America: too close for comfort*. New York: Guilford Press.

Bienart, P. (2017, February 2). How Trump wants to make America exceptional again. The Atlantic. Retrieved from: www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2017/02/how-trump-wants-to-make-america-exceptional-again/515406/

Bischoff, Laura A. and Mallow, Daniel . 2012. GOP Convention to show Tea Party influence. The Atlanta Journal – Constitution. 26 August. Retrieved from: www.ajc.com/news/news/local/gop-convention-to-show-tea-party-influence/nRMSZ/

Blum, R. (2017, February 9). What Donald Trump's rhetoric borrows from the Tea Party. Vox. Retrieved from: www.vox.com/mischiefs-of-faction/2017/2/9/14552930/trump-tea-party-rhetoric-immigrants-liberal-media

Boot, Max. (2017, July 31). How the 'Stupid Party' created Donald Trump. The New York Times. Retrieved from: www.nytimes.com/2016/08/01/opinion/how-the-stupid-party-created-donald-trump.html?smprod=nytcore-ipad&smid=nytcore-ipad-share

Burghart, D. (2012). View from the top. In L. Rosenthal and C. Trost (Eds.), *Steep: The precipitous rise of the Tea Party* (pp. 67–97). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Burstein, P. and Linton, A. (2002). The impact of political parties, interest groups, and social movement organizations on public policy. *Social Forces*, 81(2), 380–408.

Canovan, M. (1981). *Populism*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Carey, N. (2012, October 15). Tea Party activists fight Agenda 21 seeing threatening U.N. plot. Reuters. Retrieved from: www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/10/15/agenda-21-tea-party_n_1965893.html?utm_hp_ref=politics

CNBC.com . (2009, February 22). Rick Santelli's shout heard 'round the world'. Retrieved from: www.cnn.com/id/29283701Rick_Santelli039s_Shout_Heard_039Round_the_World

Disch, L. (2012). The Tea Party: A 'White Citizenship' movement? In L. Rosenthal and C. Trost (Eds.), *Steep: The precipitous rise of the Tea Party* (pp. 133–151). Berkeley, CA: University of

California Press.

Edwards-Levy, A. (2016, September 9). Republicans support outsider presidential candidates over the establishment, polls show. Huffington Post. Retrieved from: www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/political-outsiders-are-leading-the-republican-field_us_55e4bb21e4b0b7a96339f0a9

Fingerhut, H. (2016, July 20). In 'political correctness' debate, most Americans think too many people are easily offended. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from: www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/07/20/in-political-correctness-debate-most-americans-think-too-many-people-are-easily-offended/

Foley, E. (2012). The Tea Party: three principles. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Fraga, B. , McElwee, S. , Rhodes, J. , and Schaffner, B. (2016, May 5). Why did Trump win? More whites – and fewer blacks – actually voted. The Washington Post. Retrieved from: www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2017/05/08/why-did-trump-win-more-whites-and-fewer-blacks-than-normal-actually-voted/?utm_term=.4f99c806fce5

Francis, D. and Hester, S. (2004). An invitation to ethnomethodology: language, society and interaction. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Gallup, A. and Newport, F. (2007). The Gallup poll: public opinion in 2007. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Garfinkel, H. (1967). Studies in ethnomethodology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Gibson, C. (2016, January 13). How 'politically correct' went from compliment to insult. The Washington Post. Retrieved from: www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/how-politically-correct-went-from-compliment-to-insult/2016/01/13/b1cf5918-61a-11e5-a76a-0b5145e8679a_story.html?utm_term=.337e30db2612

Greenhouse, S. (2011, January 3). Strained states turning to laws to curb labor unions. The New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2011/01/04/business/04labor.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0

Hall, S. (1997). Representation: cultural representation and signifying practices. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Hidden Brain . (2017). How President Trump's rhetoric is changing the way Americans talk. Hidden Brain. Retrieved from www.npr.org/2017/09/04/548471325/how-president-trumps-rhetoric-is-changing-the-way-americans-talk

Hofstadter, R. (1963). The paranoid style in American politics and other essays. New York: Knopf.

Holstein, J. and Gubrium, J. (2005). Interpretive practice. In N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln (Eds), The Sage handbook of qualitative research (pp. 483–506). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Kaufman, L and Zernike, K. (2012, February 3). Activists fight green projects, seeing UN plot. The New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2012/02/04/us/activists-fight-green-projects-seeing-un-plot.html?pagewanted=all.

Kendall, G. and Wickham, G. (2003). Using Foucault's methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Kimmel, M. (2013). Angry white men: American masculinity at the end of an era. New York: Nation Books.

Krieg, G. (2016, November 10). How did Trump win? Here are 24 theories. CNN. Retrieved from: www.cnn.com/2016/11/10/politics/why-donald-trump-won/index.html

Lynch, C. (2017, July 22). Draining the swamp of brainpower: Trump's corrupt administration is fueled by anti-intellectualism. Salon. Retrieved from: www.salon.com/2017/07/22/draining-the-swamp-of-brainpower-trumps-corrupt-administration-is-fueled-by-anti-intellectualism/

McAdam, D. (1982). Political process and the development of black insurgency, 1930–1970. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

McAdam, D. (1994). Culture and social movements. In E. Larana , H. Johnston , and J. Gusfield (Eds), New social movements (pp. 36–57), Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Meyer, D. S. (2004). Protest and political opportunities. Annual Review of Sociology, 30: 125–145.

Mills, S. (2003). Foucault. New York: Routledge.

Newport, F. and Saad, L. (2016). Trump support built on outsider status, business experience. Gallup. Retrieved from: www.gallup.com/poll/189773/trump-support-built-outsider-status-business-experience.aspx

Norman, J. (2016, November 11). Trump victory surprises Americans; Four in 10 afraid. Gallup. Retrieved from: www.gallup.com/poll/197375/trump-victory-surprises-americans-four

afraid.aspx

Pew Research Center . (2016). In their own words: Why voters support – and have concerns about – Clinton and Trump. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from: www.people-press.org/2016/09/21/in-their-own-words-why-voters-support-and-have-concerns-about-clinton-and-trump/

Pew Research Center . (2017). Sharp partisan divisions in views of national institutions. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from: www.people-press.org/2017/07/10/sharp-partisan-divisions-in-views-of-national-institutions

Reilly, M. (2017, July 10). The majority of republicans think colleges are bad for the U.S., poll shows. The Huffington Post. Retrieved from www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/republicans-college-poll_us_59639fe2e4b0d5b458ec4481

Roberts, J. (2007). CBS poll: lack of experience hurts Obama. CBS News. Retrieved from: www.cbsnews.com/news/cbs-poll-lack-of-experience-hurts-obama/

Rochon, T. (1998). Culture moves. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Sargent, G. (2016, May 1). Why did Trump win? New research by Democrats offer a worrisome answer. The Washington Post. Retrieved from: www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/plum-line/wp/2017/05/01/why-did-trump-win-new-research-by-democrats-offers-a-worrisome-answer/?utm_term=.5d9bbd6c4c90

Silverman, D. (1985). Qualitative methodology and sociology: describing the social world. Aldershot, UK: Gower Publishing.

Silverman, D. (2004). Qualitative research: theory, method and practice. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Skocpol, T. and Williams, V. (2012). The Tea Party and the remaking of Republican Conservatism. New York: Oxford University Press.

Stokes, B. (2016, May 17). American isolationism, with a very, very big stick. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from: www.pewglobal.org/2016/05/17/american-isolationism-with-a-very-very-big-stick/

Tarrow, S. (1998). Power in movement: social movements and contentious politics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Tea Party Patriots . (2012). Career politicians think living within your means is 'extreme'. Retrieved from: www.teapartypatriots.org/content/career-politicians-think-living-within-your-means-is-extreme/

Trump, Donald . 2016. Transcript: Donald Trump's foreign policy speech. The New York Times. 27 April. Retrieved from: www.nytimes.com/2016/04/28/us/politics/transcript-trump-foreign-policy.html

Tyrrell, I. (2016, October 21). What, exactly, is 'American exceptionalism'? The Week. Retrieved from: <http://theweek.com/articles/654508/what-exactly-american-exceptionalism>

United Nations Environment Programme . (1992). Agenda 21. United Nations. Retrieved from: <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/Agenda21.pdf>.

Willon, P. (2017, August 14). GOP Candidates for Calif. Governor woo tea party. Ventura County Star. Retrieved from: www.vcstar.com/story/news/2017/08/15/gop-candidates-calif-governor-woo-tea-party/571057001/

Zernike, K. (2010). Boiling mad: inside Tea Party America. New York: Times Books.

"Ni droite, Ni gauche, Français!"

Bar-On, T. (2007). Where have all the fascists gone? Aldershot, Burlington, VT: Ashgate.

Bar-On, T. (2011). Transnationalism and the French Nouvelle Droite. Patterns of Prejudice, 45(3), 199–223. doi:10.1080/0031322X.2011.585013

Bell, D. (1988). The end of ideology: on the exhaustion of political ideas in the fifties: With a new afterword. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Billig, M. (1995). Banal nationalism. London: Sage.

Bobbio, N. (1994). Destra e sinistra. Rome: Donzelli.

Crépon, S. , Dézé, A. , & Mayer, N. (2015). Les faux-semblants du Front National: sociologie d'un parti politique. Paris: Sciences Po Les Presses.

De Vries, C. E. (2017). The cosmopolitan-parochial divide: changing patterns of party and electoral competition in the Netherlands and beyond. *Journal of European Public Policy*. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1339730

Dély , R. (1996). Au FN, le slogan "ni droite ni gauche" entretient les querelles. Avec cette ligne, Le Pen espère séduire "les déçus du chiraquisme". *Liberation*. Retrieved from www.liberation.fr/france-archiv/1996/02/19/au-fn-le-slogan-ni-droite-ni-gauche-entretient-les-querellesavec-cette-ligne-le-pen-espere-seduire-l_164254

Dély, R. (1999). *Histoire secrète du Front National*. Paris: Grasset.

Duranton-Crabol, A.-M. (1988). *Visages de la nouvelle droite: le G.R.E.C.E. et son histoire*. Paris: Presses de la fondation nationale des sciences politiques.

Economist. (2017). France's next revolution: the vote that could wreck the European Union. *The Economist*.

Enyedi, Z. , & Krause, K. (2011). *The structure of political competition in Western Europe*. London: Routledge.

FrontNational . (2002). Programme du Front National. Retrieved from <https://manifesto-project.wzb.eu/>

Furedi, F. (2005). *The politics of fear*. London; New York: Continuum.

Gauchet, M. (1996). Right and Left. In P. Nora (Ed.), *Realms of memory: rethinking the French past* (Volume 1: Conflicts and Divisions, pp. 241–298). New York: Columbia University Press.

Giddens, A. (1994). *Beyond left and right: the future of radical politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge: Polity.

Goodhart, D. (2017). *The road to somewhere: the populist revolt and the future of politics*. London: Hurst & Company.

Haider, J. (1997). *Befreite Zukunft jenseits von links und rechts*. Vienna: Ibero Verlag/European University Press.

Hainsworth, P. (2008). *The extreme right in Western Europe*. New York: Routledge.

Halikiopoulou, D. , Nanou, K. , & Vasilopoulou, S. (2012). The paradox of nationalism: The common denominator of radical right and radical left euroscepticism. *European Journal of Political Research*, 51(4), 504–539. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.02050.x

Harrison, S. , & Bruter, M. (2011). *Mapping extreme right ideology: an empirical geography of the European extreme right*. Basingstoke and New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Hay, C. (2007). *Why we hate politics*. Cambridge, UK; Malden, MA: Polity Press.

Hooghe, L. , Marks, G. , & Wilson, C. (2002). Does Left/Right structure party positions on European integration? *Comparative Political Studies*, 35(8), 965–989.

Hooghe, L. , & Marks, G. (2017). Cleavage theory meets Europe's crises: Lipset, Rokkan, and the transnational cleavage. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 4(1), 109–135.

Ignazi, P. (2003). *Extreme right parties in Western Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Inglehart, R. (1990). *Culture shift in advanced industrial society*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Inglehart, R. (1971). The silent revolution in Europe: intergenerational change in post-industrial societies. *American Political Science Review*, 65(4): 991–1017.

Ivaldi, G. (2016). A new course for the French radical right? The Front National and 'de-demonisation'. In T. Akkerman , S. L. d. Lange , & M. Rooduijn (Eds.), *Radical right-wing populist parties in Western Europe: into the mainstream?* (pp. 225–246). London: Routledge.

Kim, H. , & Fording, R. C. (2001). Voter ideology, the economy, and the international environment in Western democracies, 1952–1989. *Political Behavior*, 23, 53–73.

Knutsen, O. (1995). Value orientations, political conflicts and left-right identification: a comparative study. *European Journal of Political Research*, 28(1), 63–93.

Kriesi, H. , Grande, E. , Lachat, R. , Dolezal, M. , Bornschier, S. , & Frey, T. (2006). Globalization and the transformation of the national political space: six European countries compared. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(6), 921–956.

Kriesi, H. , Grande, E. , Lachat, R. , Dolezal, M. , Bornschier, S. , & Frey, T. (2008). *West European politics in the age of globalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Laponce, J. (1981). *Left and right: the topography of political perceptions*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Le Pen, M. (2015). Discours de Marine Le Pen (Front National) après le 2e tour des Régionales 2015 Henin Beaumont. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/watch?v=Dv7Us46gL8c

Le Pen, M. (2017a) Interviewer: G. Durand. L'Invité Politique (20/01/2017), Radio Classique.

Le Pen, M. (2017b). Assises présidentielles de Lyon: Discours de Marine Le Pen. Paper presented at the Assises présidentielles de Lyon. Retrieved from www.rassemblementnational.fr/videos/assises-presidentielles-de-lyon-discours-de-marine-le-pen/

LegaNord . (2014). Programma Elettorale elezioni Europee 2014. Retrieved from www.leganord.org/phocadownload/elezioni/europee/Programma%20elettorale%20europee%202014.pdf.

Lipset, S. M. , & Raab, E. (1971). The politics of unreason: right wing extremism in America, 1790–1970. London: Heinemann.

Lukes, S. (2003). Epilogue: The grand dichotomy of the twentieth century. In T. Ball & R. Bellamy (Eds), *The Cambridge history of twentieth century political thought* (pp. 602–626). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Macron, E. (2017). Discours du 1er mai d'Emmanuel Macron. Retrieved from <https://en-marche.fr/articles/discours/discours-1er-mai-emmanuel-macron>

Mair, P. (2013). *Ruling the void: the hollowing of Western democracy*. London; New York: Verso.

March, L. (2007). From vanguard of the proletariat to vox populi: left-populism as a 'shadow' of contemporary socialism. *SAIS Review*, 27(1), 63–77.

Maréchal, S. (1996). Ni droite, ni gauche. . . Français!: Contre la pensée unique : L'autre politique. Paris: Odilon Media.

Mayer, N. (2013). From Jean-Marie to Marine Le Pen: electoral change on the far right. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 66(1), 160–178. doi:10.1093/pa/gss071

Merkel, W. (2017). Cosmopolitanism versus communitarianism: a new conflict in our democracies (English manuscript). In P. Harfst , I. Kubbe , & T. Poguntke (Eds), *Parties, governments and elites. The comparative study of democracy* (pp. 9–23). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

Meunier, S. (2015). *La Mondialisation. Le Quebec International: Une Perspective Economique*. Research Paper. Montreal.

Mudde, C. (1996). The war of words defining the extreme right party family. *West European Politics*, 19, 225–248.

Mudde, C. (2000). *The ideology of the extreme right*. New York: Manchester University Press.

Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe*. Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press.

Mudde, C. , & Kaltwasser, C. (2013). Exclusionary vs. inclusionary populism: comparing contemporary Europe and Latin America. *Government and Opposition*, 48(2), 147.

Norris, P. (1999). *Critical citizens: global support for democratic government*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Otjes, S. , & Louwerse, T. (2015). Populists in parliament: comparing left-wing and right-wing populism in the Netherlands. *Political Studies*, 63(1), 60–79. doi:10.1111/1467-9248.12089

Perrineau, P. (2017). *Cette France de gauche qui vote FN*. Paris: Seuil.

Rokkan, S. , & Lipset, S. M. (1967). *Party systems and voter alignments: cross-national perspectives* (First edition). New York: Free Press.

Rydgren, J. (2007). The sociology of the radical right. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 33, 241–262. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.33.040406.131752

Sénécât, A. , Dahyot, A. , & Breteau, P. (2016, 09.12.2016). *Présidentielle 2017: au-delà de l'opposition gauche-droite, les nouveaux clivages politiques*. Le Monde. Retrieved from www.lemonde.fr/les-decodeurs/visuel/2016/12/09/presidentielle-2017-au-dela-de-l-opposition-gauche-droite-les-nouveaux-clivages-politiques_5046187_4355770.html

Slaughter, A. M. (2017). Nationalists and globalists, opinion piece. Project Syndicate. Retrieved from www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/nationalists-and-globalists-trump-wilders-by-anne-marie-slaughter-2017-03

Stavrakakis, Y. , & Katsambekis, G. (2014). Left-wing populism in the European periphery: the case of SYRIZA. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 19(2), 119–142. doi:10.1080/13569317.2014.909266

Sternhell, Z. (1987). *Ni droite, ni gauche: l'idéologie fasciste en France* (Nouvelle ed. refondue et augm. ed.). Brussels: Complexe.

- Taguieff, P.-A. (1994). *Sur la nouvelle droite: jalons d'une analyse critique*. Paris: Descartes & Cie.
- Vasilopoulou, S. (2010). *Euroscepticism and the radical right: domestic strategies and party system dynamics*. PhD thesis, The London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE). Retrieved from <http://etheses.lse.ac.uk/633/>
- Veugeliers, J. (1997). Social cleavage and the revival of far right parties: the case of France's National Front. *Acta Sociologica*, 100(1), 31–49.
- Viroli, M. (1995). *For love of country: an essay on patriotism and nationalism*. New York: Clarendon Press.
- White, J. (2010). Left, right and beyond: the pragmatics of political mapping: The London School of Economics and Political Science. Paper No. 24/2010.
- White, J. (2012). Community, transnationalism, and the Left–Right metaphor. *European Journal of Social Theory*, 15(2), 197–219. doi: 10.1177/1368431011423652
- Zurn, M. , & de Wilde, P. (2016). Debating globalisation: cosmopolitanism and communitarianism as political ideologies. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 21(3), 280–301.

Populist radical right mainstreaming and challenges to democracy in an enlarged Europe

- Abts, K. , & Rummens, S. (2007). Populism versus democracy. *Political Studies*, 55(2), 405–424.
- Ágh, A. (2001). Public sector reforms, institutional design and strategy for good governance in East Central Europe. In L. R. Basta Fleiner & E. M. Swiderski (Eds), *Democratic transition and consolidation in Central and Eastern Europe* (pp. 33–58). Bâle: Helbing & Lichtenhahn.
- Ágh, A. (2016). The decline of democracy in East-Central Europe. *Problems of Post-Communism*, 63(5–6), 277–287.
- Akkerman, T. (2012). Comparing radical right parties in government: immigration and integration policies in nine countries (1996–2010). *West European Politics*, 35(3), 511–529.
- Akkerman, T. (2015). Immigration policy and electoral competition in Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 21(1), 54–67.
- Akkerman, T. , de Lange, S. , & Rooduijn, M. (Eds). (2016). *Radical right-wing populist parties in Western Europe. Into the mainstream?* London: Routledge.
- Allen, G. (1961). Le volonté de tous and le volonté général: a distinction and its significance. *Ethics*, 71(4), 263–275.
- Alonso, S. , & Clara da Fonseca, S. (2012). Immigration, left and right. *Party Politics*, 18(6), 865–884.
- Arditi, B. (2007). Populism as a spectre of democracy: a response to Canovan. *Political Studies*, 52(1), 135–143.
- Art, D. (2007). Reacting to the radical right. Lessons from Germany and Austria. *Party Politics*, 13(3), 331–349.
- Bakke, E. , & Sitter, N. (2005). Patterns of stability: party competition and strategy in Central Europe since 1989. *Party Politics*, 11(2), 243–263.
- Bale, T. (2003). Cinderella and her ugly sisters: the mainstream and extreme right in Europe's bipolarising party systems. *West European Politics*, 26(3), 67–90.
- Bernáth, G. , Miklósi, G. , & Mudde, C. (2005). Hungary. In C. Mudde (Ed.), *Racist extremism in Central and Eastern Europe* (pp. 80–100). London: Routledge.
- Biró Nagy, A. , & Boros, T. (2016). *Jobbik going mainstream. Strategy shift of the far right in Hungary*. Budapest: Policy Solutions.
- Bohle, D. , & Greskovits, B. (2012). *Capitalist diversity on Europe's periphery*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Budapest Beacon (2017a, January 10). Government poised to launch next wave of attacks on Hungarian civil society. Retrieved from <https://budapestbeacon.com/government-poised-to-launch-next-wave-of-attacks-on-hungarian-civil-society/>
- Budapest Beacon (2017b, April 4). Fidesz-KDNP passes Lex CEU. Retrieved from <https://budapestbeacon.com/fidesz-kdnp-passes-lex-ceu/>

Bustikova, L. , & Kitschelt, H. (2009). The radical right in post-communist Europe. Comparative perspectives on legacies and party competition. *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 42(4), 459–483.

Canovan, M. (2002). Taking politics to the people: populism as the ideology of democracy. In Y. Mény & Y. Surel (Eds.), *Democracies and the populist challenge* (pp. 25–44). New York: Palgrave.

Carvalho, J. (2013). *Impact of extreme right parties on immigration policy: comparing Britain, France and Italy*. London: Routledge.

Dahl, R. (1971). *Polyarchy: participation and opposition*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Dawson, J. , & Hanley, S. (2016). The fading mirage of the “liberal consensus”. *Journal of Democracy*, 27(1), 20–34.

de Vries, C. , & Hobolt, S. B. (2012). When dimensions collide: the electoral success of issue entrepreneurs. *European Union Politics*, 13(2), 246–268.

Der Standard (2017, July 6). Flüchtlingsgipfel: Kurz verteidigt Brenner-Pläne. Retrieved from <http://derstandard.at/2000060880949/Kurz-verteidigt-Brenner-Plaene>

Eatwell, R. (2000). The rebirth of the ‘extreme right’ in Western Europe? *Parliamentary Affairs*, 53, 407–425.

Enyedi, Z. (2016). Populist polarization and party system institutionalization. *Problems of Post-Communism*, 63(4), 210–220.

Fomina, J. , & Kucharczyk, J. (2016). Populism and protest in Poland. *Journal of Democracy*, 27(4), 58–68.

Gamson, W. A. (1988). Political discourse and collective action. In B. Klandermans , H. Kriesi , & S. Tarrow (Eds), *International social movement research. From structure to action: comparing social movement research across cultures* (pp. 219–246). Greenwich, CT: Jai Press Inc.

Gamson, W. A. (2004). Bystanders, public opinion, and the media. In D. A. Snow , S. A. Soule , & H. Kriesi (Eds), *The Blackwell companion to social movements* (pp. 242–261). Malden/Oxford/Carlton: Blackwell.

Gazeta.pl (2017, October 15). “Drogi wakacje? Przecież to misja medyczna”. Tak TVP manipulowało w materiale o “luksusach” lekarzy. Retrieved from <http://wiadomosci.gazeta.pl/wiadomosci/7,114883,22514313,drogie-wakacje-przeciez-to-misja-medyczna-tak-tvp-manipulowalo.html>

Gruber, O. , & Bale, T. (2014). And it's good night Vienna. How (not) to deal with the populist radical right: the Conservatives, UKIP and some lessons from the heartland. *British Politics*, 9(3), 237–254.

Győri, G. (2016). *The political communication of the refugee crisis in Central and Eastern Europe*. Budapest: Policy Solutions.

Herman, L. E. (2016). Re-evaluating the post-communist success story. Party elite loyalty, citizen mobilization and the erosion of Hungarian democracy. *European Political Science Review*, 8(2), 251–284.

Hutchinson, J. (2005). *Nations as zones of conflict*. London: Sage.

Ignazi, P. (1992). The silent counter-revolution. Hypotheses on the emergence of extreme right-wing parties in Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*, 22(1), 3–34.

Inglehart, R. (1977). *The silent revolution. Changing values and political styles among Western publics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Innes, A. (2002). Party competition in post-communist Europe: the great electoral lottery. *Comparative Politics* 35(1), 85–104.

Isaac, J. C. (2017, July 12). Is there illiberal democracy? A problem with no semantic solution. Public Seminar. Retrieved from www.publicseminar.org/2017/07/is-there-illiberal-democracy/

Jobbik (2010). *Radical change. A guide to Jobbik's parliamentary electoral manifesto for national self-determination and social justice*. Budapest: Jobbik.

Katz, R. S. , & Mair, P. (1995). Changing models of party organization and party democracy. The emergence of the cartel party. *Party Politics*, 1(1), 5–28.

Krekó, P. , & Mayer, G. (2015). Transforming Hungary – together? An analysis of Fidesz–Jobbik relationship. In M. Minkenberg (Ed.), *Transforming the transformation? The East European radical right in the political process* (pp. 183–205). London: Routledge.

Kriesi, H. , Grande, E. , Lachat, R. , Dolezal, M. , Bornschier, S. , & Frey, T. (Eds). (2008). *West European politics in the age of globalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kurier.at (2017, September 23). Wahlkampfauftakt: Kurz will Rolle des Kanzlers stärken. Retrieved from <https://kurier.at/politik/inland/wahl/wahlkampfauftakt-kurz-praesentiert-sieben-rahmenbedingungen-fuer-oesterreich/287.915.697>

Mair, P. (2002). Populist democracy vs party democracy. In Y. Mény & Y. Surel (Eds), *Democracies and the populist challenge* (pp. 81–100). New York: Palgrave.

Mair, P. (2013). *Ruling the void. The hollowing of Western democracy*. London: Verso.

March, L. (2017). Left and right populism compared: The British case. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 19(2), 282–303.

Meguid, B. M. (2008). *Party competition between unequals: strategies and electoral fortunes in Western Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mény, Y. , & Surel, Y. (2002). The constitutive ambiguity of populism. In Y. Mény & Y. Surel (Eds), *Democracies and the populist challenge* (pp. 1–24). New York: Palgrave.

Merkel, W. (2004). Embedded and defective democracies. *Democratization*, 11(5), 33–58.

Minkenberg, M. (1998). *Die neue radikale Rechte im Vergleich. USA, Frankreich, Deutschland*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Minkenberg, M. (2000). The renewal of the radical right: between modernity and anti-modernity. *Government and Opposition*, 35(2), 170–188.

Minkenberg, M. (2001). The radical right in public office: agenda-setting and policy effects. *West European Politics*, 24(4), 1–21.

Minkenberg, M. (2002). The new radical right in political process: interaction effects in France and Germany. In M. Schain , A. R. Zolberg , & P. Hossay (Eds.), *Shadows over Europe. The development and impact of the extreme right in Western Europe* (pp. 245–269). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Minkenberg, M. (2013). From pariah to policy- maker? The radical right in Europe, West and East: between margin and mainstream. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 5–24.

Minkenberg, M. (Ed.). (2015). *Transforming the transformation? The East European radical right in the political process*. London: Routledge.

Minkenberg, M. (2017). *The radical right in Eastern Europe. Democracy under siege?* New York: Palgrave.

Minkenberg, M. , & Kossack, O. (2015). Conclusions: actors, interaction, and impact in comparison. In M. Minkenberg (Ed.), *Transforming the transformation? The East European radical right in the political process* (pp. 348–359). London: Routledge.

Minkenberg, M. , & Pytlas, B. (2012). The radical right in Central and Eastern Europe: class politics in classless societies? In J. Rydgren (Ed.), *Class politics and the radical right* (pp. 206–223). London: Routledge.

Moffitt, B. (2016). *The global rise of populism. Performance, political style, and representation*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Mondon, A. (2013). Nicolas Sarkozy's legitimization of the Front National: background and perspectives. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 47(1), 22–40.

Mudde, C. (2004). The populist zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), 541–563.

Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mudde, C. (2010). The populist radical right: a pathological normalcy. *West European Politics*, 33(6), 1167–1186.

Mudde, C. (2013). Three decades of populist radical right parties in Western Europe: So what? *European Journal of Political Research*, 52(1), 1–19.

Mudde, C. (2017). Politics at the fringes? Eastern Europe's populists, racists, and extremists. In A. Fagan & P. Kopecký (Eds), *Routledge handbooks. The Routledge handbook of East European politics* (pp. 254–264). London: Routledge.

Mudde, C. , & Rovira Kaltwasser, C. (2012). Populism and (liberal) democracy: a framework for analysis. In C. Mudde & C. Rovira Kaltwasser (Eds.), *Populism in Europe and the Americas. Threat or corrective for democracy?* (pp. 1–26). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Müller, J.-W. (2016). *What is populism?* Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Noakes, J. A. , & Johnston, H. (2005). Frames of protest. A road map to a perspective. In H. Johnston & J. A. Noakes (Eds.), *Frames of protest. Social movements and the framing perspective* (pp. 1–33). Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers Inc.

- Orbán, V. (2016, February 28). Prime Minister Viktor Orbán's State of the Nation Address. Retrieved from www.miniszterelnok.hu/prime-minister-viktor-orbans-state-of-the-nation-address/
- Orbán, V. (2017, February 10). Prime Minister Viktor Orbán's State of the Nation address. Retrieved from www.miniszterelnok.hu/prime-minister-viktor-orbans-state-of-the-nation-address-2/
- Pappas, T. S. (2014). Populist democracies: post-authoritarian Greece and post-communist Hungary. *Government and Opposition*, 49(1), 1–23.
- Pirro, A. L. (2015). The populist radical right in Central and Eastern Europe. Ideology, impact, and electoral performance. London: Routledge.
- Polskie Radio (2012, December 13). Marsz PiS. "Nie ma polskości bez wolności". Retrieved from www.polskieradio.pl/5/3/Artykul/743800,Marsz-PiS-Nie-ma-polskosci-bez-wolnosci
- Polskie Radio (2016, June 4). Prezes PiS: Polska musi pozostać suwerenna, musi pozostać państwem Polaków. Retrieved from www.polskieradio.pl/5/3/Artykul/1627553,Prezes-PiS-Polska-musi-pozostac-suwerenna-musi-pozostac-panstwem-Polakow
- Pytlas, B. (2013). Radical-right narratives in Slovakia and Hungary: historical legacies, mythic overlaying and contemporary politics. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 47(2), 162–183.
- Pytlas, B. (2015). Radical right parties in Central and Eastern Europe. Mainstream party competition and electoral fortune. London: Routledge.
- Pytlas, B. , & Kossack, O. (2015). Lighting the fuse: the impact of radical right parties on party competition in Central and Eastern Europe. In M. Minkenberg (Ed.), *Transforming the transformation? The East European radical right in the political process* (pp. 105–136). London: Routledge.
- Rmf.fm (2017, July 20). Orędzie premier Szydło. "Nie damy się zastraszyć obrońcom interesów elit". Retrieved from www.rmf24.pl/fakty/polska/news-oredzie-premier-szydlo-nie-damy-sie-zastraszy-c-obroncom-inte,nld,2419549
- Rydgren, J. (2003). Meso-level reasons for racism and xenophobia. *European Journal of Social Theory*, 6(1), 45–68.
- Rydgren, J. (2004). Explaining the emergence of radical right-wing populist parties: the case of Denmark. *West European Politics*, 27(3), 474–502.
- Rzeczpospolita (2017, July 14). Jarosław Kaczyński: "Ulica i zagranica" to zamach jest stanu. Retrieved from www.rp.pl/Sadownictwo/170719383-Jaroslaw-Kaczynski-Ulica-i-zagranica-to-zamach-jest-stanu.html#ap-1
- Schain, M. (2006). The extreme-right and immigration policy-making: measuring direct and indirect effects. *West European Politics*, 29(2), 270–289.
- Scheppele, K. L. (2013). The rule of law and the Frankenstate: why governance checklists do not work. *Governance*, 26(4), 559–562.
- Schumacher, G. , & van Kersbergen, K. (2014). Do mainstream parties adapt to the welfare chauvinism of populist parties? *Party Politics*, 22(3), 300–312.
- Schumpeter, J. (1947). *Capitalism, socialism and democracy*. Whitefish: Kessinger.
- Sikk, A. (2012). Newness as a winning formula for new political parties. *Party Politics*, 18(4), 465–486.
- Stanley, B. (2015). The post-populist non-crisis in Poland. In H. Kriesi & T. S. Pappas (Eds), *European populism in the shadow of the great recession* (pp. 251–272). Colchester: ECPR.
- Szczerbiak, A. (2008). The birth of a bipolar party system or a referendum on a polarizing government? The October 2007 Polish parliamentary election. *Journal of Communist Studies and Transition Politics*, 24(3), 415–443.
- The Guardian (2016, April 28). Ban Ki-moon attacks 'increasingly restrictive' EU asylum policies. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/world/2016/apr/27/austria-set-to-bring-in-stringent-new-law-on-asylum-seekers
- TV Republika (2017, July 12). Mocne wystąpienie Ziobry: Przywrócimy sądy polskiemu społeczeństwu. Retrieved from <http://telewizjarepublika.pl/mocne-wystapienie-ziobry-przywrócimy-sady-polskiemu-spoleczenstwu,51193.html>
- Urbinati, N. (1998). Democracy and Populism. *Constellations*, 5(1), 110–124.
- van Biezen, I. , Mair, P. , & Poguntke, T. (2012). Going, going, . . . gone? The decline of party membership in contemporary Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*, 51(1), 24–56.
- van Spanje, J. (2010). Contagious parties. Anti-immigration parties and their impact on other parties' immigration stances in contemporary Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 16(5), 563–586.

Venice Commission . (2013). Opinion on the fourth amendment to the fundamental law of Hungary (Opinion 720/2013). Strasbourg: European Commission for Democracy Through Law, Council of Europe.

Venice Commission . (2016). Opinion on the Act on the Constitutional Tribunal (Opinion 860/2016). Strasbourg: European Commission for Democracy Through Law, Council of Europe.

Wagner, M. , & Meyer, T. (2017). The radical right as niche parties? The ideological landscape of party systems in Western Europe, 1980–2014. *Political Studies*, 65(1), 84–107.

Wp.pl (2017, July 28). Skargi na “paski grozy” TVP Info. Retrieved from <https://teleshov.wp.pl/skargi-na-paski-grozy-tvp-info-nierzetelne-i-stronnicze-6149001216882817a>

The weight of negativity

Akkerman, T. (2012). Comparing radical right parties in government: immigration and integration policies in nine countries (1996–2010). *West European Politics*, 35(3), 511–529.

Arzheimer, K. , & Carter, E. (2006). Political opportunity structures and right-wing extremist party success. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(3), 419–443.

Assinder, N. (2006, April 4). UKIP and Cameron's war of words. BBC. Retrieved from http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk_politics/4875502.stm

Bale, T. (2003). Cinderella and her ugly sisters: the mainstream and extreme right in Europe's bipolarising party systems. *West European Politics*, 26(3), 67–90.

Bale, T. , Green-Pedersen, C. , Krouwel, A. , Luther, K. R. , & Sitter, N. (2010). If you can't beat them, join them? Explaining social democratic responses to the challenge from the populist radical right in Western Europe. *Political Studies*, 58(3), 410–426.

Betz, H. G. (1994). *Radical right-wing populism in Western Europe*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Bruter, M. , & Harrison, S. (2017). From emotion to ergonomics: capturing and interpreting voters' emotions under different electoral arrangements. *Nature (Human Behaviour)*, 1(0024), 1–3.

Cordon, G. (2016, May 26). EU Referendum: Labour MP attacks 'racist' Vote Leave campaign. *The Independent*. Retrieved from www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/eu-referendum-vote-leave-racist-brex-it-khalid-mahmood-labour-mp-a7049381.html#gallery

Ford, R. , & Goodwin, M. (2014). *Revolt on the right – explaining support for the radical right in Britain*. London: Routledge.

Goodwin, B. , & Taylor, K. (2009). *The politics of utopia: a study in theory and practice* (Vol. 5). New York: Peter Lang.

Harrison, S. , & Bruter, M. (2011). *Mapping extreme right ideology*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Heinisch, R. (2003). Success in opposition – failure in government: explaining the performance of right-wing populist parties in public office. *West European Politics*, 26(3), 91–130.

Ignazi, P. (1997). New challenges: postmaterialism and the extreme right. In *Developments in West European politics* (pp. 300–319). London: Palgrave.

Ignazi, P. (2002). The extreme right: defining the object and assessing the causes. In Schain, M. , Zolberg, A. & Hossay, P. eds., 2002. *Shadows over Europe: The development and impact of the extreme right in Western Europe* (pp. 21–37). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Kallis, A. (2013). Far-right 'contagion' or a failing 'mainstream'? How dangerous ideas cross borders and blur boundaries. *Democracy and Security*, 9(3), 221–246.

Kitschelt, H. , & McGann, A. (1995) *The radical right in Western Europe: A comparative analysis*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Lister, S. (2016, May 21). EU Referendum: Vote Leave faces criticism over Turkey 'criminals' claim. *The Independent*. Retrieved from www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/eu-referendum-vote-leave-faces-criticism-over-turkey-criminals-claim-a7041876.html

Mannheim, K. (1960). *Ideology and utopia*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Mason, R. (2016, June 7) Vote Leave lists 50 criminals it says EU has stopped UK deporting. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/jun/07/vote-leave-lists-50-criminals-it-says-eu-has-stopped-uk-deporting

Minkenberg, M. (2013). From pariah to policy-maker? The radical right in Europe, West and East: between margin and mainstream. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 5–24.

Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe* (Vol. 22, No. 8). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mudde, C. (2010). The populist radical right: a pathological normalcy. *West European Politics*, 33(6): 1167–1186.

Norris, P. (2005). *Radical right: voters and parties in the electoral market*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Scarrow, S. (1996). *Parties and their members*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sciorilli Borrelli, S. (2016, April 20). Leave campaign head: Brussels is a very corrupt place. Politico. [online] Retrieved from www.politico.eu/article/leave-campaign-head-brussels-very-corrupt-place/

Sitter, N. (2001). The politics of opposition and European integration in Scandinavia: is Euro-scepticism a government-opposition dynamic? *West European Politics*, 24(4), 22–39.

Stewart, H. , & Mason, R. (2016, June 16). Nigel Farage's anti-migrant poster reported to police. The Guardian. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/jun/16/nigel-farage-defends-ukip-breaking-point-poster-queue-of-migrants

Thompson, M. (2016, August 27). From Trump to Brexit rhetoric how today's politicians have got away with words The Guardian. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/books/2016/aug/27/from-trump-to-brexit-rhetoric-how-todays-politicians-have-got-away-with-words accessed on 03/08/17

Vote Leave campaign (2016). Our Case. Vote Leave. Retrieved from www.voteleavetakecontrol.org/our_case.html

From soft to hard Brexit

Abedi, A. & Lundberg, T. (2009). Doomed to Failure? UKIP and the Organisational Challenges facing Right-Wing Populist Anti-Political Establishment Parties. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 62(1), 72–87.

Alexandre-Collier, A. (2010). *Les habits neufs de David Cameron. Les conservateurs britanniques (1990–2010)*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.

Alexandre-Collier, A. (2015). Reassessing British Conservative Euroscepticism as a Case of Party (Mis)Management. In Gifford, G. & Tournier-Sol, K. (Eds), *The UK Challenge to Europeanization: the Persistence of British Euroscepticism* (pp. 99–116). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Alexandre-Collier, A. (2017). Le nouveau visage de l'euroscepticisme conservateur à la Chambre des Communes. *Revue Française de Civilisation Britannique*, XXII(2). Retrieved from: <https://journals.openedition.org/rfcb/1347>

Apostolova, V. , Audickas, L. , Baker, C. , Bate, A. , Cracknell, R. , Dempsey, N. , Hawkins, O. , McInnes, R. , Rutherford, T. & Uberoi, E. (2017). General Election of 2017: Results and Analysis, Second Edition. House of Commons Library Briefing Paper, Number CBP 7979, 11 July 2017, 108 p. Retrieved from <http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/CBP-7979#fullreport>

Baker, D. , Gamble A. & Ludlam S. (1994). The Parliamentary Siege of Maastricht 1993: Conservative Divisions and British Ratification. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 47(1), 37–60.

Baker, D. , Fountain I. , Gamble A. & Ludlam S. (1995). Backbench Conservative Attitudes to European Integration. *Political Quarterly*, 66(2), 221–233.

Bale, T. (2017). Leaving Party: Theresa May's Tories and Europe. *Renewal*, 24(2), 24–29.

Bale, T. & Webb, P. (2014). Why Do Tories Defect to UKIP? Conservative Party Members and the Temptations of the Populist Radical Right. *Political Studies*, 62(4), 961–970.

Bale, T. , Cowley, P. & Menon, A. (2016). EU Referendum: A Third of MPs Could Still Back Brexit'. *The Spectator*. Retrieved from <http://blogs.spectator.co.uk/2016/02/eu-referendum-a-third-of-mps-could-still-back-brexit/on>.

BBC (2016). EU vote: Where the Cabinet and other MPs Stand. Retrieved from www.bbc.com/news/uk-politics-eu-referendum-35616946.

Carswell, A. (2014) Chesham and Amersham Conservative MP, Cheryl Gillan, Reveals UKIP Approach, Bucks Free Press, 15 October 2014. Retrieved from www.bucksfreepress.co.uk/news/11536033.Conservative_MP__Cheryl_Gillan__reveals_UKIP_approach/

Copsey, N. & Haughton, T. (2014). Farewell Britannia? 'Issue Capture' and the Politics of David Cameron's 2013 EU Referendum Pledge. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 52(S1), 74–89.

Cowley, P. & Stuart, M. (2012) The Cambusters: The Conservative European Union Referendum Rebellion of October 2011. *Political Quarterly*, 83(2), 402–406.

Evans, G. & Mellon, J. (2016a). Working-Class Votes and Conservative Losses: Solving the UKIP Puzzle. *Parliamentary Affairs*, 69(2), 464–479.

Evans, G. & Mellon, J. (2016b). Are Leave Voters Mainly UKIP? The British Election Study, July 2016. Retrieved from www.britishelectionstudy.com/bes-impact/are-leave-voters-mainly-ukip-by-jonathan-mellon-and-geoffrey-evans/#.WaqoA9FpyUI

Ford, R. & Goodwin R. (2014). *Revolt on the Right. Explaining Support for the Radical Right in Britain*. London & New York: Routledge.

Forster, A. (2002). *Euroscepticism in Contemporary British Politics. Opposition to Europe in the British Conservative and Labour Partis since 1945*. London & New York: Routledge.

Gifford, C. (2014) [2008]. *The Making of Eurosceptic Britain*. London: Ashgate.

Goodwin, M. & Milazzo M. (2015). *UKIP: Inside the Campaign to Redraw the Map of British Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hanretty, C. & Vivyan, N. (2014) Opinion Estimates for 632 Constituencies. Retrieved from <http://constituencyopinion.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/estimates-longtab-neat.pdf>

Hayton, R. (2010). Towards the Mainstream? UKIP and the 2009 Elections to the European Parliament. *Politics*, 30(1), 26–35.

Helm, T. (2017). Theresa May's Ratings Slump in Wake of General Election – Poll. *The Guardian*, 2 July 2017. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/jul/01/over-60-of-voters-view-theresa-may-as-pm-negatively-poll

Heppell, T. (2013). Cameron and Liberal Conservatism: Attitudes within the Parliamentary Conservative Party and Conservative Ministers. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 15(3), 340–361.

Kilmuir, Earl of . (1964). *Political Adventure: The Memoirs of the Earl of Kilmuir*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

Lynch, P. & Whitaker R. (2013). Rivalry on the Right: The Conservatives, the UK Independence Party (UKIP) and the EU Issue. *British Politics*, 8(3), 285–312.

Menon, A. & Fowler, B. (2016). Hard or Soft? The Politics of Brexit. *National Institute Economic Review*, 238(1), 7–13.

Panebianco, A. (1988). *Political Parties: Organization and Power*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Szczerbiak, A. & Taggart, P. (2008). *Opposing Europe? The Comparative Party Politics of Euroscepticism*, vol. 1 & 2. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tournier-Sol, K. (2015). Reworking the Eurosceptic and Conservative Traditions into a Populist Narrative: UKIP's Winning Formula?. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 53(1), 140–156.

Usherwood, S. (2008). The Dilemmas of Single-issue Party: the UK Independence Party. *Representation*, 44(3), 255–264.

Usherwood, S. (2016). Did UKIP Win the Referendum? *Political Insight*, 2, 27–29.

Usherwood, S. & Startin, N. (2013). Euroscepticism as a Persistent Phenomenon. *The Journal of Common Market Studies*, 51(1), 1–16.

Zahawi, N. (2017). It Isn't UKIP that Stands to Gain from the Collapse of Labour, it is the Conservatives. *ConservativeHome*, 6 March 2017. Retrieved from www.conservativehome.com/thecolumnists/2017/03/nadhim-zahawi-it-isnt-ukip-that-stands-to-gain-from-the-collapse-of-labour-its-the-conservatives.html

So close, yet so far

- Akkerman, T. , de Lange, S.L. & Rooduijn, M. eds. (2016). *Radical Right-Wing Populist Parties in Western Europe: Into the Mainstream?* London: Routledge.
- Albertazzi, D. & McDonnell, D. (2015). *Populists in Power*. London: Routledge.
- Arzheimer, K. & Carter, E. (2006). Political Opportunity Structures and Right-Wing Extremist Party Success. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45: 419–443.
- Bale, T. (2003). Cinderella and her Ugly Sisters: The Mainstream and Extreme Right in Europe's Bipolarising Party System. *West European Politics*, 26(3): 67–90.
- Bale, T. , Green-Pedersen, C. , Krouwel, A. , Luther, K-R. & Sitter, N. (2010). If you Can't Beat Them, Join Them? Explaining Social Democratic Responses to the Challenge from Populist Radical Right in Western Europe. *Political Studies*, 58: 410–426.
- Brouard, S. & Tiberj, V. (2006). The French Referendum: The Not So Simple Act of Saying "Nay". *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 39(2): 261–268.
- Carvalho, J. (2014). *Impact of Extreme Right Parties on Immigration Policy: Comparing Britain, France and Italy*. London: Routledge.
- Crépon, S. , Dézé, A. & Mayer, N. (eds.) *Les faux-semblants du Front National. Sociologie d'un parti politique*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Dahlström, C. & Sundell, A. (2012). A Losing Gamble How Mainstream Parties Facilitate Anti-Immigrant Party Success. *Electoral Studies*, 31(2): 353–363.
- Dézé, A. (2012). *Le Front National: à la conquête du pouvoir?* Paris: Armand Colin.
- Dézé, A. (2015). La dédiabolisation: une nouvelle stratégie?, in Crépon S. , Dézé, A. & Mayer, N. (eds.), *Les faux semblants du Front National. Sociologie d'un parti politique* (pp. 27–50). Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Downs, W. (2001). Pariahs In Their Midst: Belgian and Norwegian Parties React to Extremist Threat. *West European Politics*, 24(3): 23–42.
- Downs, W. (2002). How Effective Is the Cordon Sanitaire?. *Journal of Conflict and Violence Research*, 4(1): 33–51 (www.uni-bielefeld.de/ikg/jkg/1-2002/downs.pdf).
- Duhamel, O. & Grunberg, G. (1992). *Les dix France*. In TNS Sofres, *L'État de l'opinion* (pp. 79–85). Paris: Seuil.
- Evans, G. & de Graaf, N.D. (eds.) *Political Choice Matters. Explaining the Strength of Class and Religious Cleavages in Cross-National Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Foucault, M. (2016). Les électeurs 'infiltrés' peuvent-ils menacer le résultat de la primaire?. Note du CEVIPOF, 27, November.
- Fourquet, J. & Giarizzo, M. (2013). *UMP et FN: électorats en fusion*. Paris: Fondation Jean-Jaurès (collection "Les Essais").
- Fourquet J. & Le Bras H. (2017). *La guerre des trois. La primaire de la droite et du centre*. Paris: Fondation Jean Jaurès.
- Haegel, F. (2010). Right Wing Parties in France and Europe, in Perrineau, P. , Rouban, L. (eds.), *Politics in France and Europe* (pp. 217–233). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Haegel, F. (2011). Nicolas Sarkozy a-t-il radicalisé la droite française? *Changements idéologiques et étiquetages politiques. French Politics, Culture and Society*, 29(2): 62–77.
- Haegel, F. (2012). *Les droites en fusion. Transformations de l'UMP*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Haegel, F. (2013). Political Parties: The UMP and the Right. In Cole A , Meunier S. & Tiberj, V. (eds.), *Developments in French Politics* (pp. 88–103). Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Haegel, H. (2015). The UMP after Sarkozy, in Goodliffe, G. & Brizzi, R. (eds.), *France after 2012* (pp. 61–73). New York; Oxford: Berghahn Books.
- Han, K. J. (2015). The Impact of Radical Right-Wing Parties on the Positions of Mainstream Parties Regarding Multiculturalism. *West European Politics*, 38(3): 557–576.
- Hoffmann, S. (1956). *Le mouvement Poujade*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Igounet, V. (2014). *Le Front National de 1972 à nos jours: le parti, les hommes, les idées*. Paris: Seuil.
- Ivaldi, G. (2015). Du néolibéralisme au social-populisme?: La transformation du programme économique du Front national (1986–2012). In Crépon S. Dézé, A. , Mayer, N. (eds). *Les faux semblants du Front National. Sociologie d'un parti politique* (pp. 163–183). Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.

- Ivaldi, G. (2016). A New Course for the French Radical-right? The Front National and 'De-demonization'. In Akkerman, T. , de Lange, S. , Rooduijn, M. Radical Right-Wing Populist Parties in Western Europe. Into the Mainstream? (pp. 231–253). London: Routledge.
- Kitschelt, H. & McGann, A. (1989). The Internal Politics of Parties: The Law of Curvilinear Disparity Revisited. *Political Studies*, 37: 400–421.
- Kitschelt H. & McGann A. (1995). *The Radical Right in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- May, J. D. (1973). Opinion Structure of Political Parties: The Special Law of Curvilinear Disparity. *Political Studies*, 21(2): 135–151.
- Mayer, N. (2002). *Ces Français qui votent Le Pen*. Paris: Flammarion.
- Mayer, N. (2007). Comment Nicolas Sarkozy a rétréci l'électorat Le Pen. *Revue Française de Science Politique*, 57 (3–4): 429–445.
- Mayer, N. , Michelat, G. , Tiberj, V. & Vitale, T. (2017). Evolution et structure des préjugés: le regard des chercheurs. In CNCDH, *La lutte contre le racisme, l'antisémitisme et la xénophobie*. Année 2016 (pp. 63–140). Paris: La Documentation française.
- Meguid, B.M. (2008). *Party Competition between Unequals: Strategies and Electoral Fortunes in Western Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Minkenberg, M. (2013). From Pariah to Policy Maker? The Radical Right in Europe, West and East: Between Margin and Mainstream. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1): 5–24.
- Mudde, C. (2014). Fighting the System? Populist Radical Right Parties and Party System Change. *Party Politics*, 20(2): 217–226.
- Mudde, C. (2016). *The Study of Populist Radical Right Parties: Towards a Fourth Wave*. C-Rex Working Paper Series N°1/2016. (www.sv.uio.no/c-rex/english/publications/c-rex-working-paper-series/Cas%20Mudde:%20The%20Study%20of%20Populist%20Radical%20Right%20Parties.pdf).
- Norris, P. (1995). May's Law of Curvilinear Disparity Revisited. *Leaders, Members and Voters in British Political Parties*. *Party Politics*, 1(1): 29–47.
- Reungoat, E. (2015). Le Front National et l'Union européenne. In Crépon S. Dézé, A. , Mayer, N. (eds), *Les faux semblants du Front National*. *Sociologie d'un parti politique* (pp. 225–245). Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Sarkozy, N. (2006), *Témoignage*. Paris: XO Editions.
- Van Spanje, J. (2010a). Contagious Parties: Anti-Immigration Parties and Their Impact on Other Parties' Immigration Stances in Contemporary Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 16(5): 563–586.
- Van Spanje, J. (2010b). Parties Beyond the Pale: Why Some Political Parties Are Ostracised by their Competitors while Others are Not. *Comparative European Politics*, 8(3): 354–383.
- Van Spanje, J. & Van Der Brug, W. (2007). The Party as Pariah: The Exclusion of Anti-Immigration Parties and its Effect on their Ideological Positions. *West European Politics*, 30(5): 1022–1040.
- Von Beyme, K. (1988). *Right-wing Extremism in Western Europe*. London: Routledge
- Wagner, M. and Meyer, T.M. (2017). The Radical Right as Niche Parties? The Ideological Landscape of Party Systems in Western Europe, 1980–2014. *Political Studies*, 65(1S): 84–107.
- Wauquiez, L. (2014). *Europe: il faut tout changer*. Paris: O. Jacob.
- Wolinetz, S. & Zaslove, A. (eds) (2017). *Absorbing the Blow: Populist Parties and Their Impact on Parties and Party System*. Colchester: ECPR Press.

There's something about Marine

- Akkerman, T. , de Lange, S. L. , & Rooduijn, M. (Eds). (2016). *Radical right-wing populist parties in Western Europe, into the mainstream?* London; New York: Routledge.
- Alonso, S. , & Claro da Fonseca, S. (2011). Immigration left and right. *Party Politics*, 18(6), 865–884.
- Bale, T. (2003). Cinderella and her ugly sisters: The mainstream and extreme right in Europe's bipolarizing party systems. *West European Politics*, 26(3), 67–90.

- Bale, T. (2008). Turning round the telescope: centre-right parties and immigration and integration policy in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(3), 315–330.
- Bale, T. (2013). More and more restrictive—but not always populist: explaining variation in the British Conservative Party's stance on immigration and asylum. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 21(1), 25–37.
- Bale, T. , Green-Pedersen, C. , Krouwel, A. , Luther, K. R. , & Sitter, N. (2010). If You can't beat them, join them? Explaining social democratic responses to the challenge from the populist radical right in Western Europe. *Political Studies*, 58(3), 410–426.
- Bale, T. , & Partos, R. (2014). Why mainstream parties change policy on migration: A UK case study – The Conservative Party, immigration and asylum, 1960–2010. *Comparative European Politics*, 12, 603–619.
- Berezin, M. (2009). *Illiberal politics in neoliberal times: culture, security and populism in the new Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Berezin, M. (2013). The normalization of the right in post-security Europe. In A. Schäfer & W. Streeck (Eds), *Politics in the age of austerity*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Camus, J.-Y. (2011). The European extreme right and religious extremism. *Central European Political Studies Review*, 9(4), 263–279.
- Delwit, P. (Ed.). (2012). *Le Front national: mutations de l'extrême droite française*. Bruxelles: éditions de l'Université de Bruxelles.
- Downs, W. M. (2001). Pariahs in their midst: Belgian and Norwegian parties react to extremist threats. *West European Politics*, 24(3), 23–42.
- Fillon, F. (2017a). *Maisons Alfort rally*. February 24, 2017.
- Fillon, F. (2017b). *Press conference*. February 6, 2017.
- Fillon, F. (2017c). *Radio Classique interview*. March 15, 2017.
- Fourquet, J. (2008). L'érosion électorale du Lepénisme. In P. Perrineau (Ed.), *Le vote de rupture: les élections présidentielle et législatives d'avril–juin 2007*. Paris: Presses de la fondation nationale des sciences politiques.
- Fourquet, J. , & Gariazzo, M. (2013). FN et UMP: Electorats en fusion? In F. J. Jaurès (Ed.), *Les Essais*. Paris.
- Gerring, J. (2007). *Case study research: principles and practices*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gruber, O. , & Bale, T. (2014). And it's good night Vienna. How (not) to deal with the populist radical right: The Conservatives, UKIP and some lessons from the heartland. *British Politics*, 9, 237–254.
- Haegel, F. (2012). *Les droites en fusion, Transformations de l'UMP*. Paris: Les Presses de Sciences Po.
- Halikiopoulou, D. , Mock, S. , & Vasilopoulou, S. (2013). The civic zeitgeist: nationalism and liberal values in the European radical right. *Nations and nationalism*, 19(1), 107–127.
- Hamon, B. (2017a). *Bastia rally*. March 6, 2017.
- Hamon, B. (2017b). *France 5 interview*. February 14, 2017.
- Hamon, B. (2017c). *L'Indépendant interview*. March 3, 2017.
- Hamon, B. (2017d). *Lille rally*. March 29, 2017.
- Hamon, B. (2017e). *Radio Classique interview*. March 3, 2017.
- Hamon, B. (2017f). *Radio Monte-Carlo (RMC) interview*. March 3, 2017.
- Harré, R. , & Moghaddam, F. M. (Eds.). (2003). *The self and others: positioning individuals and groups in personal, political, and cultural contexts*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Harré, R. , Moghaddam, F. M. , Cairnie, T. P. , Rothbart, D. , & Sabat, S. R. (2009). Recent advances in positioning theory. *Theory & Psychology*, 19(1), 5–31. doi: 10.1177/0959354308101417
- Harré, R. , & Van Langenhove, L. (1999). *Positioning Theory: Moral contexts of intentional action*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Ipsos-Sopra Steria . (2017). *2nd Tour Sociologie des électors et profil des abstentionistes*. Retrieved August 1, 2017, from www.ipsos.fr/sites/default/files/doc_associe/ipsos_sopra_steria_sociologie_des_electorats_7_mai_20h15_0.pdf
- Kantar-Soffres-One Point . (2017). *Baromètre d'image du Front National*. Retrieved August 1, 2017, from <http://fr.kantar.com/opinion-publique/politique/2017/barometre-2017-d-image-du-front-national/>

Kitschelt, H. , & McGann, A. J. (1995). *The radical right in Western Europe: a comparative analysis*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Loxbo, K. (2010). The impact of the radical right: lessons from the local level in Sweden, 2002–2006. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 33(3), 295–315

Macron, E. (2017a). *Journal Du Dimanche* (JDD) interview. March 19, 2017.

Macron, E. (2017b). *Le Figaro* interview. April 29, 2017.

Macron, E. (2017c). *Le Monde* interview. April 4, 2017.

Macron, E. (2017d). Nantes rally. April 19, 2017.

Macron, E. (2017e). *Télévision Française 1* (TF1) interview. April 27, 2017.

Macron, E. (2017f). TFI second round debate. May 3, 2017.

Mélenchon, J.-L. (2017a). Official YouTube statement. April 28, 2017.

Mélenchon, J.-L. (2017b). Strasbourg rally. February 2, 2017.

Mudde, C. (2007). *Populist radical right parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mudde, C. , & Rovira Kaltwasser, C. b. (Eds). (2013). *Populism in Europe and the Americas: threat or corrective for democracy?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Paris Match . (2017). *Le Poids des mots, élection présidentielle 2017*. Retrieved August 12, 2017, from www.parismatch.com/Le-Poids-des-Mots

Perrineau, P. , Ysmal, C. , & Avril, P. (Eds). (2003). *Le vote de tous les refus: les élections présidentielles et législatives 2002*. Paris: Presses de la fondation nationale des sciences politiques.

Rooduijn, M. , de Lange, S. L. , & van der Brug, W. (2014). A populist zeitgeist? Programmatic contagion by populist parties in Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 20(4), 563–575.

Saldanã, J. (2013). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*, London: SAGE.

Schain, M. (2006). The extreme-right and immigration policy-making: measuring direct and indirect effects. *West European Politics*, 29(2), 270–298.

TNS-Sofres . (2015). *Baromètre d'image du Front National*. Retrieved August 1, 2017, from www.tns-sofres.com/sites/default/files/2015.02.16-baro-fn.pdf

van Spanje, J. (2010). Contagious parties: anti-immigration parties and their impact on other parties' immigration stances in contemporary Western Europe. *Party Politics*, 16(5), 563–586.

van Spanje, J. , & Van der Brug, W. (2009). Being intolerant of the intolerant. the exclusion of Western European anti-immigration parties and its consequences for party choice. *Acta Politica*, 44(4), 353–384.

White, J. (2011). Left and Right as political resources. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 16(2), 123–144.